Role of Blimp-1 in programming Th effector cells into IL-10 producers
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Secretion of the immunosuppressive cytokine interleukin (IL) 10 by effector T cells is an essential mechanism of self-limitation during infection. However, the transcriptional regulation of IL-10 expression in proinflammatory Th1 cells is insufficiently understood. We report a crucial role for the transcriptional regulator Blimp-1, induced by IL-12 in a STAT4-dependent manner, in controlling IL-10 production from Th1 cells. Blimp-1 deficiency led to excessive inflammation during Toxoplasma gondii infection with increased mortality. IL-10 production from Th1 cells was strictly dependent on Blimp-1 but was further enhanced by the synergistic function of c-Maf, a transcriptional regulator of IL-10 induced by multiple factors, such as the Notch pathway. We found Blimp-1 expression, which was also broadly induced by IL-27 in effector T cells, to be antagonized by transforming growth factor (TGF) β. While effectively blocking IL-10 production from Th1 cells, TGF-β shifted IL-10 regulation from a Blimp-1-dependent to a Blimp-1-independent pathway in IL-27–induced Tr1 (T regulatory 1) cells. Our findings further illustrate how IL-10 regulation in Th cells relies on several transcriptional programs that integrate various signals from the environment to fine-tune expression of this critical immunosuppressive cytokine.
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The transcription factor c-Maf controls IL-10 expression in Th17 and T regulatory 1 (Treg1) cells (Pot et al., 2009; Xu et al., 2009; Apetoh et al., 2010), as well as in macrophages (Cao et al., 2005). c-Maf is induced downstream of IL-27 or TGF-β and binds to consensus motifs (Maf recognition element [MARE]) in the Il10 promoter. Although c-Maf can trans-activate Il10 by itself to some extent (Xu et al., 2009; Apetoh et al., 2010), robust IL-10 expression seems to require interaction with additional transcriptional regulators. To induce IL-10 in Treg1 cells, c-Maf cooperates with the aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR; Apetoh et al., 2010), a ligand-activated transcription factor which is also expressed in Th17 but not in Th1 or Th2 cells. AhR expression is mainly driven by TGF-β (Veldhoen et al., 2008), as well as in macrophages (Cao et al., 2005). c-Maf is induced downstream of IL-27 or TGF-β and binds to consensus motifs (Maf recognition element [MARE]) in the Il10 promoter. Although c-Maf can trans-activate Il10 by itself to some extent (Xu et al., 2009; Apetoh et al., 2010), robust IL-10 expression seems to require interaction with additional transcriptional regulators. To induce IL-10 in Treg1 cells, c-Maf cooperates with the aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR; Apetoh et al., 2010), a ligand-activated transcription factor which is also expressed in Th17 but not in Th1 or Th2 cells. AhR expression is mainly driven by TGF-β (Veldhoen et al., 2008). IL-10 expression from Th2 cells is independent of c-Maf (Kim et al., 1999) but instead requires STAT6 and GATA3 (Chang et al., 2007).

Th1 cells are the major source for IL-10 in many infections, including Toxoplasma gondii or Leishmania major (Andersen et al., 2007; Jankovic et al., 2007). Yet, the transcriptional regulation of IL-10 in Th1 cells is not well understood. Th1 cells not only lack AhR expression, they also express very low levels of c-Maf (Veldhoen et al., 2008; Pot et al., 2009). IL-12 and the Notch pathway are major drivers of IL-10 production by Th1 cells (Chang et al., 2007; Rutz et al., 2008; Saraiva et al., 2009; Kasner et al., 2010), which is dependent on STAT4 and ERK (Saraiva et al., 2009). In addition, IL-27 is critical for IL-10 production in Th1-driven immune responses in models of infections with T. gondii (Stumhofer et al., 2007) or malaria (Freitas do Rosário et al., 2012).

Here, we report that the transcriptional regulator Blimp-1 is critical for IL-10 production in Th1 cells. Blimp-1, which is also involved in IL-10 expression in regulatory T cells as well as in CD8+ cytotoxic T cells (Martins et al., 2006; Cretney et al., 2011), is induced in Th1 cells by IL-12 in a STAT4-dependent manner. We found that Blimp-1−/− deficient Th1 cells lacked IL-10 production in vitro and in vivo. T cell–specific Blimp-1 deficiency resulted in enhanced inflammation and immunopathology during T. gondii infection. c-Maf, although associated with IL-10 in Th1 cells, could not rescue IL-10 expression in the absence of Blimp-1. Both factors bound independently to the Il10 promoter but acted synergistically to drive IL-10 expression. Under polarizing conditions in vitro, Blimp-1 expression was largely limited to the Th1 subset. However, Blimp-1 was also induced by IL-27 and mediated IL-10 production downstream of IL-27. In contrast, TGF-β, another important driver of IL-10 production, antagonized Blimp-1 while strongly inducing c-Maf. Our data suggest that Blimp-1 is the main driver of IL-10 production in proinflammatory effector T cells downstream of IL-12 and IL-27. In contrast, a c-Maf/AhR−/− dependent pathway dominates IL-10 regulation in the presence of TGF-β.
although identified by gene expression profiling, showed very low overall expression levels and were largely undetectable by qPCR (unpublished data). Blimp-1 had previously been implicated in IL-10 regulation in CD8+ T cells and regulatory T cells (Martins et al., 2006; Cretney et al., 2011), but a respective function in effector T cells has not yet been described. c-Maf, in contrast, controls IL-10 expression in Th17 and Tr1 cells (Pot et al., 2009; Xu et al., 2009; Apetoh et al., 2010) but has not been extensively studied in Th1 cells. To get a better understanding of the expression of both transcription factors in Th1 cells relative to other T effector subsets, we first performed a detailed time-course analysis of Blimp-1 and c-Maf mRNA expression in Th0, Th1, Th2, and Th17 effector T cells (Fig. 1 D).

We also compared expression levels by Western blotting (Fig. 1 E) and assessed their association with IL-10 production in the various Th cell subsets (Fig. 1 F). Interestingly, although IL-10
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**RESULTS**

Transcription factors Blimp-1 and c-Maf are associated with IL-10 expression in Th1 cells

IL-10 production in Th1 cells is driven mainly by IL-12 (Chang et al., 2007; Saraiva et al., 2009). To identify transcription factors that control IL-10 expression in these cells, we generated Th1 cells by culturing naive CD4+ cells with IL-12 for 5 d and performed gene expression profiling of IL-10-secreting Th1 cells after restimulation with PMA/ionomycin. We focused our analysis on transcription factors, which were induced >2× in IL-10-secreting cells (Fig. 1 A). In particular, Prdm1 (encoding Blimp-1) was expressed at high levels in IL-10-producing Th1 cells compared with IL-10– Th1 cells as confirmed by quantitative PCR (qPCR; Fig. 1 B) and by Western blot (Fig. 1 C). Similarly, expression of Maf (encoding c-Maf) correlated with IL-10 expression in Th1 cells (Fig. 1, B and C). Evi1 and Flii, although identified by gene expression profiling, showed very low overall expression levels and were largely undetectable by qPCR (unpublished data). Blimp-1 had previously been implicated in IL-10 regulation in CD8+ T cells and regulatory T cells (Martins et al., 2006; Cretney et al., 2011), but a respective function in effector T cells has not yet been described. c-Maf, in contrast, controls IL-10 expression in Th17 and Tr1 cells (Pot et al., 2009; Xu et al., 2009; Apetoh et al., 2010) but has not been extensively studied in Th1 cells. To get a better understanding of the expression of both transcription factors in Th1 cells relative to other T effector subsets, we first performed a detailed time-course analysis of Blimp-1 and c-Maf mRNA expression in Th0, Th1, Th2, and Th17 effector T cells (Fig. 1 D). We also compared expression levels by Western blotting (Fig. 1 E) and assessed their association with IL-10 production in the various Th cell subsets (Fig. 1 F). Interestingly, although IL-10
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In contrast, c-Maf was predominantly expressed in Th17 cells during T. gondii infection (Jankovic et al., 2007). To study the role for Blimp-1 in regulating IL-10 production in Th1-dependent immune responses, we generated T cell–specific conditional Prdm1Δlox.CD4.cre mice. After peroral infection with 10 cysts of T. gondii, Prdm1Δlox.CD4.cre mice succumbed to the infection within 11 d, whereas mortality in control mice (Prdm1Δlox/+CD4.cre) was ~50% over 14 d (Fig. 2 A). The high susceptibility to infection observed in control mice was probably due to the fact that we used 6–8-wk-old animals to avoid any interference with spontaneous pathology occurring later on in Prdm1Δlox/CD4.cre mice (Martins et al., 2006). Consistent with an exacerbated inflammatory response, we observed mild portal lymphocyte infiltration with severe and merging necrosis of the parenchyma in Prdm1Δlox/CD4.cre mice, whereas controls showed only focal and minimal to mild infiltration of the liver parenchyma (Fig. 2 B). The parasite burden, however, was similar between the two groups, indicating that parasite control was not compromised in Prdm1Δlox/CD4.cre mice (Fig. 2 C). We detected significantly elevated serum levels of IL-12 in Prdm1Δlox/CD4.cre mice (Fig. 2 D). TNF and IFN-γ were slightly increased in serum and in the liver, respectively (Fig. 2, D and E). Prdm1Δlox/CD4.cre mice exhibited strongly increased frequencies of antigen–specific T cells, particularly in mesenteric lymph nodes (Fig. 2 F). More importantly, IL-10 production was significantly reduced upon antigen-specific restimulation in Prdm1Δlox-deficient T cells, whereas IFN-γ production was normal (Fig. 2 G). A striking reduction in IL-10 production was observed in CD4+ T cells isolated from liver, spleen, and mesenteric lymph nodes of infected Prdm1Δlox/CD4.cre mice as compared with control mice (Fig. 2 H), whereas IFN-γ production from T cells was not altered (Fig. 2 H). Consistent with published data, we found that IL-10 was largely

mRNA levels were largely comparable in Th1, Th2, and Th17 cells with some differences in the kinetics of IL-10 expression, Blimp-1 expression was largely confined to Th1 cells (Fig. 1, D and E). We detected only very low levels of Blimp-1 in Th2 cells (Fig. 1, D and E), where it can be induced by IL-4 (Wang et al., 2008). Accordingly, only in Th1 cells, and not in Th2 or Th17 cells, Blimp-1 expression correlated with IL-10 production (Fig. 1 F).

In contrast, c-Maf was predominantly expressed in Th17 but still detectable in Th1 and Th2 cells (Fig. 1, D and E). Although this is in agreement with published data (Baquet et al., 2009; Pot et al., 2009), it is noteworthy that expression was comparable between Th1 and Th2 cells (Fig. 1, D and E), although originally c-Maf had been described as a Th2 transcription factor (Ho et al., 1996). Interestingly, despite its low level of expression in Th1 and Th2 cells, c-Maf correlated with IL-10 production across all T effector subsets tested (Fig. 1 F). Collectively, its high expression levels and its correlation with IL-10 production selectively in Th1 cells suggested a critical role for Blimp-1 in IL-10 regulation during Th1-mediated immune responses.

Blimp-1 is essential for IL-10 expression by Th1 cells during T. gondii infection

IL-10 production from Th1 cells has been reported to be essential to limit immunopathology in various infection models, including T. gondii infection (Jankovic et al., 2007). To study the role for Blimp-1 in regulating IL-10 production in Th1-dependent immune responses, we generated T cell–specific

Figure 3. Blimp-1 is crucial for IL-10 expression by Th1 cells in vitro. Naïve CD4+ T cells from wild-type (WT) or conditional Prdm1-deficient (CKO) mice were cultured in vitro under Th1 skewing conditions. (A and B) Relative Il10 expression in WT or CKO Th1 cells and frequency of IL-10– (A) and IFN-γ–producing Th1 cells (gated on CD4+; B) 96 h after T cell priming by qPCR or intracellular staining after PMA/ionomycin restimulation, respectively. A representative dot plot is shown. From left to right: **, P = 0.0037; **, P = 0.0046. (E) Schematic representation of CNSs in the Il10 locus upstream of the TSS. Positions of CNS are given in kb relative to TSS. (F) ChIP analysis of Blimp-1 binding to CNS upstream of Il10 in Th1 cells. Th1 cells were fixed 72 h after the start of culture, *P = 0.038. (G) ChIP analysis of histone modifications at CNS upstream of Il10 in WT and CKO Th1 cells 72 h after activation. Data are representative of three (A and B) or two (C and D) independent experiments, or data summarize three (F; duplicates/experiment) or two (G; duplicates/ experiment) independent experiments, respectively, (mean ± SEM).
derived from IFN-γ+ cells in this model (Fig. 2 I). IL-10 production from Foxp3+ regulatory T cells (T reg cells) was also strongly reduced in infected Prdm1−/−/CD4.Cre mice (Fig. 2 J), whereas the frequencies of T reg cells were increased (Fig. 2 K) as previously reported (Cretney et al., 2011). Although we cannot exclude a contribution of nonrelated effects of Blimp-1, increased inflammation and elevated serum IL-12 levels were reminiscent of IL-10−/− mice infected with T. gondii (Gazzinelli et al., 1996), suggesting that the reduction in T cell–derived IL-10 in the absence of Blimp-1 contributes to an exacerbated inflammatory response. Collectively, our data supported a critical role for Blimp-1 in regulating IL-10 production in a T helper 1 (Th1)-driven immune response.

**Blimp-1 is required for IL-10 expression from Th1 cells in vitro**

Given that Blimp-1 deficiency strongly impaired IL-10 production from Th1 cells in vivo, we wanted to study the role of Blimp-1 in IL-10 regulation in more detail. First, we cultured wild-type and Prdm1−/− T cells under Th1-polarizing conditions in vitro and analyzed IL-10 expression at the mRNA level and after PMA/ionomycin restimulation by intracellular staining. Indeed, both IL-10 mRNA and protein expression in Th1 cells were largely abrogated in the absence of Blimp-1 (Fig. 3 A), whereas the frequency of IFN-γ producers was comparable (Fig. 3 B). Conversely, ectopic expression of Blimp-1 under Th1-polarizing conditions strongly increased IL-10 expression (Fig. 3 C), whereas IFN-γ remained unchanged (Fig. 3 D).

Several conserved noncoding sequences (CNSs) have been identified upstream of the transcriptional start site (TSS) in the Il10 locus (Fig. 3 E; Fig. S1) and have been implicated in regulating IL-10 expression (Cao et al., 2005; Jones and Flavell, 2005; Chang et al., 2007; Lee et al., 2011). Computational analysis identified putative Blimp-1 binding sites (Fig. S1). Using chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)/qPCR, we detected Blimp-1 binding to a region ~9 kb upstream of the TSS (CNS-9), but not to other conserved regions at ~20, ~4.5, or ~0.5 kb (Fig. 3 F). We used ChIP/qPCR for Histone H3K4me2 and H3K27me3 in Blimp-1−/− versus wild-type Th1 cells to assess whether Blimp-1 binding led to changes in permissive or repressive histone modifications in the Il10 locus, which might explain the impaired IL-10 expression in the absence of Blimp-1. However, we did not detect any differences in histone H3 methylation in Prdm1−/− Th1 cells (Fig. 3 G). Collectively, these data demonstrated that Blimp-1 was required for IL-10 expression by Th1 cells and suggested that Blimp-1 functioned through direct binding to a regulatory element in the Il10 locus.

**Blimp-1 expression is IL-12/STAT4-dependent in Th1 cells**

As previously reported (Chang et al., 2007; Saraiva et al., 2009), IL-10 production in Th1 cells was mainly dependent on IL-12 (Fig. 4 A) and strictly required STAT4 activation, as demonstrated in STAT4−/− T cells cultured under Th1-polarizing conditions, which lacked IL-10 expression (Fig. 4 B). Because our data suggested that both STAT4 and Blimp-1 were critical, we wanted to better understand the interrelation between these two factors in driving IL-10 production. Using ChIP/qPCR, we found that STAT4 bound in the Il10 locus the same region (CNS-9) as Blimp-1. In addition, we detected binding further downstream in the CNS upstream of Il10 in Th1 cells 72 h after activation.**, P = 0.0074; *, P = 0.027. (D) ChIP analysis of histone modifications at CNS upstream of Il10 in WT and STAT4−/− Th1 cells 72 h after activation. From left to right: *, P = 0.019; *, P = 0.021. (E) Relative Prdm1 expression measured by qPCR after exposure of naive Th cells to increasing amounts of rmIL-12 (0, 0.1, 1, 10, and 100 ng/ml) for 48 h as determined by qPCR. (F) Naive CD4+ T cells from wild-type (WT) or STAT4-deficient (STAT4−/−) mice were cultured in vitro under Th0 or Th1 skewing conditions, respectively. IL-10 production was measured by ELISA 72 h after Th cell priming. *, P = 0.024. (C) ChIP analysis of STAT4 binding to CNS upstream of Il10 in Th1 cells 72 h after activation. **, P = 0.0046. (G) Schematic representation of CNSs upstream of Prdm1. Positions of CNS are given in kb relative to TSS.

Figure 4. Blimp-1 is strictly IL-12/STAT-4–dependent in Th1 cells. (A) Relative IL-10 expression after exposure of naive Th cells to increasing amounts of rmIL-12 (0, 0.1, 1, 10, and 100 ng/ml) for 48 h as determined by qPCR. (B) Naive CD4+ T cells from wild-type (WT) or STAT4-deficient (STAT4−/−) mice were cultured in vitro under Th0 or Th1 skewing conditions, respectively. IL-10 production was measured by ELISA 72 h after Th cell priming. *, P = 0.024. (C) ChIP analysis of STAT4 binding to CNS upstream of Il10 in Th1 cells 72 h after activation. **, P = 0.0046. (G) Schematic representation of CNSs upstream of Prdm1. Positions of CNS are given in kb relative to TSS. (H) ChIP analysis of STAT4 binding to CNS upstream of Prdm1 in Th1 cells 72 h after activation. STAT4−/− Th1 cells and a region known to harbor no STAT binding sites (neg) served as negative controls. Data are presented as fold enrichment to isotype control. From left to right: *, P = 0.019; *, P = 0.019; *, P = 0.0062. Data are representative of at least 2 independent experiments (error bars, mean ± SEM).
The high expression of Blimp-1 in Th1 cells but not in other T effector subsets implied that Blimp-1 itself was downstream of IL-12. Indeed, Prdm1 was induced by IL-12 in a concentration-dependent manner (Fig. 4 E). Moreover, Blimp-1 expression was completely abrogated in the absence of STAT4 in T cells cultured under Th1-polarizing conditions (Fig. 4 F). By ChIP/qPCR, we detected STAT4 binding to conserved STAT-binding motifs in CNS regions between 1 and 2 kb upstream of the TSS in the Prdm1 locus (Fig. 4, G and H; Fig. S2). These data suggested that besides directly binding in the Il10 locus, STAT4 regulates IL-10 expression also by inducing Blimp-1 expression.

c-Maf acts synergistically with Blimp-1 to induce IL-10 in Th1 cells

Our data clearly demonstrated the critical importance of Blimp-1 for IL-10 expression in Th1 cells. However, our initial gene expression profiling had also revealed a correlation between IL-10 production and expression of c-Maf (Fig. 1). To test whether endogenous c-Maf indeed contributed to IL-10 expression in Th1 cells despite its overall low expression levels, we knocked down c-Maf by RNA interference (Fig. 5 A). Compared with Th1 cells transfected with control siRNA, we detected reduced IL-10 levels by qPCR and ELISA upon siMaf transfection (Fig. 5 B), suggesting that IL-10 expression in Th1 cells was at least partially dependent on c-Maf. Furthermore, ChIP/qPCR analysis revealed c-Maf binding in the CNS-9 region in the Il10 promoter, the same region bound by Blimp-1 and STAT4 (Fig. 5 C; Fig. S1). c-Maf binding was independent of Blimp-1, as demonstrated by ChIP/qPCR in Blimp-deficient Th1 cells (Fig. 5 D). Moreover, we did not detect a direct interaction between c-Maf and Blimp-1 upon coexpression in HEK 293T cells (unpublished data). Collectively, these data suggested that both factors functioned largely independently of each other. Given the low level expression in Th1 cells (Fig. 1, D and E), we asked whether overexpression of c-Maf would further increase IL-10 production. Indeed, ectopic expression of c-Maf strongly enhanced IL-10 levels while not affecting IFN-γ (Fig. 5 E). We then overexpressed c-Maf in Prdm1-deficient Th1 cells to test whether high levels of c-Maf could compensate for Blimp-1 deficiency and restore IL-10. c-Maf by itself only induced moderate IL-10 expression in the absence of Blimp-1 (Fig. 5 E), consistent with previous reports showing that c-Maf can transactivate Il10 to some extent (Xu et al., 2009; Apetoh et al., 2010). These studies suggested that both factors, although binding independently of each other, functioned synergistically to elicit robust IL-10 production from Th1 cells (Fig. 5, D and E). To directly test the trans-activating capacity of c-Maf and Blimp-1, we cloned the CNS-9 and CNS-4.5 regions of the Il10 locus in front of a luciferase reporter and performed reporter assays by co-transfecting HEK293T cells with c-Maf or Blimp-1 or a combination of both. CNS-4.5 served as a control because it was not bound by either factor in Th1 cells. Strikingly, although c-Maf or Blimp-1 alone induced only moderate luciferase activity from the CNS-9 construct, coexpression of c-Maf and Blimp-1 strongly enhanced transcription (Fig. 5 F). Collectively, these data demonstrated that c-Maf could not compensate for Blimp-1 deficiency, and that both factors acted synergistically in driving IL-10 expression.

c-Maf enhances Blimp-1 expression in Th1 cells

Both Blimp-1 and c-Maf expression in Th1 cells was dependent on IL-12 (Fig. 4 E and Fig. 5 G). Given the synergistic effect of both factors in the regulation of IL-10, we asked whether they would also regulate the expression of one another. Therefore, we ectopically expressed c-Maf in T cells under Th0 or Th1 polarizing conditions. Interestingly, although c-Maf was not sufficient to induce Blimp-1 expression in Th0 cells, it further increased Blimp-1 levels in Th1 cells (Fig. 5 H). These data suggested that, although STAT4 downstream of IL-12 is the main driver of Blimp-1 in Th1 cells, c-Maf further enhanced Blimp-1 expression. Consistent with this interpretation, we detected c-Maf binding in the Prdm1 locus by ChIP/qPCR. Two MARE sites had previously been identified, one in the Prdm1 promoter (within CNS-2) and another one in intron 5 (CNS+14), the latter one was implicated in the repression of Blimp-1 by Bcl6/Bach2 in B cells (Ochiai et al., 2008; Fig. S2). Interestingly, we found that c-Maf bound to the intron 5 MARE site but not to the promoter (Fig. 5 I). This suggested that c-Maf might enhance Blimp-1 expression by interfering with the repressive function of Bach2, both of which bind to the same DNA motif. In contrast, c-Maf expression was not affected by Blimp-1 (unpublished data).

Notch-mediated IL-10 induction in Th1 cells requires Blimp-1

We had previously reported that activation of the Notch pathway drastically enhances IL-10 production from Th1 cells (Rutz et al., 2008). The Notch-mediated IL-10 induction requires STAT4 activation by IL-12 (Rutz et al., 2008). However, the downstream transcriptional program has not been worked out. Gene expression profiling of Delta-like 4 (Dll4)–stimulated IL-10–secreting Th1 cells suggested that Notch activation did not induce a fundamentally different set of transcription factors (Fig. 6 A and Fig. 1 A). Again, Blimp-1 and c-Maf were strongly expressed in this population as compared with IL-10–negative cells (Fig. 6 A). Therefore, we hypothesized that Notch-induced IL-10 was still dependent on Blimp-1 and c-Maf, and that the much higher IL-10 levels observed upon Notch activation were due to further increased expression of these two factors. Blimp-1 expression in Th1 cells, however, was not significantly up-regulated by Dll4 stimulation, nor wasDll4 sufficient to induce Blimp-1 in Th0 cells (Fig. 6 B). Accordingly, ChIP/qPCR demonstrated comparable Blimp-1 binding in the Il10 CNS-9 region (Fig. 6 C). In contrast, Dll4 stimulation strongly enhanced c-Maf expression under Th1 polarizing conditions, whereas it was not sufficient to induce c-Maf in Th0 cells (Fig. 6 D). Consistent with its elevated expression in Dll4–stimulated Th1 cells, we detected strongly increased binding of c-Maf to the CNS-9 and CNS-0.5 regions in the Il10 locus (Fig. 6 E). These observations were reminiscent of our earlier findings upon ectopic expression of c-Maf in Th1 cells (Fig. 5), suggesting that Notch enhanced IL-10 expression.
predominantly by potentiating c-Maf expression and function. Ectopic expression of c-Maf could not compensate for Blimp-1 deficiency to rescue IL-10 expression (Fig. 5); we therefore wanted to test whether Notch-induced IL-10 still required Blimp-1. To address this question, we retrovirally transduced wild-type or Blimp-1−/− deficient T cells under Th1 polarizing conditions with a constitutively active form of Notch (NICD) or GFP as a control. This represents the strongest possible activation of the Notch pathway and results in dramatic IL-10 induction (Rutz et al., 2008). As expected, NICD strongly induced IL-10 in wild-type T cells (Fig. 6 F). Strikingly, IL-10 production was largely abrogated in NICD-transduced Blimp-1−/− deficient T cells (Fig. 6 F). However, NICD still induced low levels of IL-10 in the absence of Blimp-1 akin to the effect of ectopic

Figure 5. c-Maf acts synergistically with Blimp-1 to induce IL-10 in Th1 cells. (A and B) Naive CD4+ T cells were treated either with a nontargeting control siRNA (siRNA Ctl) or with a c-Maf targeting siRNA (siRNA c-Maf) before Th1 differentiation. (A) Relative Maf expression was measured by qPCR for 48 h and c-Maf protein levels were determined by immunoblot 72 h after siRNA treatment. Indication of protein size is in kD. **, P = 0.0021. (B) Relative IL10 expression determined by qPCR and IL-10 ELISA measured 72 h after the start of Th1 culture and siRNA treatment. From left to right: *, P = 0.017; *, P = 0.032. (C) ChIP analysis of c-Maf binding to CNS upstream of Il10 in Th1 cells 72 h after activation. *, P = 0.027. (D) ChIP analysis of c-Maf binding to CNS upstream of Il10 in WT or Prdm1−/− deficient (CKO) Th1 cells. From left to right: *, P = 0.023; *, P = 0.042. (E) WT or CKO Th1 cells were transduced with either control (GFP RV) or with a c-Maf (Maf RV) retroviral construct. Frequency of IL-10 and IFN-γ producers (gated on CD4⁺GFP⁺ cells) was determined by flow cytometry 96 h after priming of Th1 cells after PMA/ionomycin restimulation. A representative dot plot is shown. From left to right: **, P = 0.0025; **, P = 0.007; *, P = 0.021. (F) Luciferase reporter assay in HEK 293T cells transfected with indicated CNS reporter constructs together with empty vector (control), Blimp-1, and/or c-Maf expression plasmids. Firefly luciferase activity was measured 18 h after transfection and is presented relative to constitutive renilla luciferase activity. From left to right: *, P = 0.013; *, P = 0.024. (G) Relative Maf expression as determined by qPCR after exposure of naive Th cells to increasing amounts of rmIL-12 (0, 0.1, 1, 10, and 100 ng/ml) for 48 h and in WT or STAT4−/− Th0 and Th1 cells 72 h after priming of naive T cells. *, P = 0.012. (H) Relative Prdm1 expression and Blimp-1 and c-Maf Protein level in Th0 and Th1 cells after retroviral transduction with GFP RV or c-Maf RV measured by qPCR and immunoblot, respectively. Cells were FACS-sorted for GFP expression 48 h after transduction. Indication of protein size is in kD. *, P = 0.042. (I) ChIP analysis of c-Maf binding to CNS (MARE) sites in the Prdm1 locus in Th1 cells 72 h after activation. *, P = 0.033. Data are representative of four (A and B) or three (C–I) independent experiments (mean ± SEM).
subsets also suggested that Blimp-1 operated selectively in Th1 cells (Fig. 1, D and E). Accordingly, IL-10 production was not affected in Blimp-1–deficient Th2 and Th17 cells (Fig. 7 A). However, other factors that are typically not represented in polarizing conditions used to differentiate Th cells in vitro are critical for IL-10 production in vivo. One such factor is IL-27, another cytokine of the IL-12 family, which can stimulate IL-10 production from Th0, Th1, Th2, and Tr1 cells (Awasthi et al., 2007; Stumhofer et al., 2007; Anderson et al., 2009; Pot et al.,

Figure 6. Notch-mediated IL-10 induction in Th1 cells requires Blimp-1. (A) Heat map representation of relative expressions of transcription factors >2x up-regulated in in vitro generated IL-10–producing versus nonproducing Th1 cells stimulated additionally with the Notch ligand Dll4. Cells were FACS-sorted according to their IL-10 secretion after activation with anti-CD3/anti-CD28 MACSI beads under Th1 skewing conditions. To induce Notch activation, Th1 cells were cultured additionally in the presence of MACSI beads covalently coated with recombinant mouse Dll4. Probes were ranked by the difference (log2-fold) between results obtained from IL-10+Th1 cells and IL-10−Th1 cells (FC > 2). (B) Relative Prdm1 expression measured by qPCR and Blimp-1 protein level determined by immunoblot in Th0 and Th1 cells stimulated either in the presence (+) or absence (−) of rDll4 72 h after priming of Th cells. Indication of protein size is in kD. (C) ChIP analysis of Blimp-1 binding to CNS upstream of Il10 in Th1 cells stimulated in the presence (w/) or absence (w/o) of rDll4 72 h after activation. From left to right: *, P = 0.018; *, P = 0.042. (D) Relative Maf expression measured by qPCR and c-Maf protein level determined by immunoblot (same blot as in B) in Th0 and Th1 cells stimulated either in the presence (+) or absence (−) of rDll4 72 h after priming of Th cells. Indication of protein size is in kD. *, P = 0.036. (E) ChIP analysis of c-Maf binding to CNS upstream of Il10 in Th1 cells stimulated in the presence (w/) or absence (w/o) of rDll4 72 h after activation. From left to right: *, P = 0.027; **, P = 0.007; *, P = 0.01. (F) Wild-type (WT) or Prdm1-deficient (CKO) Th1 cells were transduced with either a control (GFP RV) or with a Notch3IC (Notch RV) retroviral construct. Relative Il10 expression in GFP-sorted cells was measured by qPCR and frequency of IL-10 and IFN-γ producers (gated on CD4+GFP+) was determined by flow cytometry 96 h after Th1 priming after PMA/ionomycin restimulation. From left to right: **, P = 0.002; **, P = 0.003; **, P = 0.002; *, P = 0.038; **, P = 0.009. Data are representative of three independent experiments (mean ± SEM).

c-Maf expression. Collectively, these data demonstrated that, although the Notch–induced IL-10 expression was largely mediated through regulation of c-Maf, overall IL-10 expression from Th1 cells was critically dependent on Blimp-1.

IL-27 induces Blimp-1–dependent IL-10 production in Th cells

Our data clearly demonstrated that IL-12–induced Blimp-1 is instrumental for IL-10 production from Th1 cells. The comparison of Blimp-1 expression among in vitro polarized T effector subsets also suggested that Blimp-1 operated selectively in Th1 cells (Fig. 1, D and E). Accordingly, IL-10 production was not affected in Blimp-1–deficient Th2 and Th17 cells (Fig. 7 A). However, other factors that are typically not represented in polarizing conditions used to differentiate Th cells in vitro are critical for IL-10 production in vivo. One such factor is IL-27, another cytokine of the IL-12 family, which can stimulate IL-10 production from Th0, Th1, Th2, and Tr1 cells (Awasthi et al., 2007; Stumhofer et al., 2007; Anderson et al., 2009; Pot et al.,
TGF-β antagonizes Blimp-1–dependent IL-10 production in Th cells

Another factor known to induce IL-10 is TGF-β. In fact, in combination with IL-27, TGF-β further enhances IL-10 production (Awasthi et al., 2007; Stumhofer et al., 2007). Interestingly, although TGF-β induces c-Maf expression (Rutz et al., 2011), a recent report demonstrated that TGF-β suppresses Blimp-1 in Th17 cells (Salehi et al., 2012). We therefore wanted to study the effect of TGF-β on IL-12– and IL-27–induced IL-10 production. Consistent with published data in Th17 cells, IL-27–induced IL-10 was completely abrogated in Prdm1−/− deficient T cells (Fig. 7 C). These data suggested a much broader role for Blimp-1 in IL-10 regulation in T effector cells.

Figure 7. TGF-β antagonizes Blimp-1–dependent IL-10 induction in T cells. (A) Naive CD4+ T cells from wild-type (WT) or conditional Prdm1−/− (CKO) mice were cultured in vitro under Th1, Th2, or Th17 skewing conditions. Production of IL-10 was measured by ELISA 72 h after priming of Th cells. *, P = 0.024. (B) Relative Prdm1 expression measured by qPCR and Blimp-1 protein levels determined by immunoblot in WT and STAT4−/− T cells upon stimulation with rmIL-27 for 48 h. Indication of protein size is in kD. (C) ELISA of IL-10 produced by WT or CKO T cells stimulated with rmIL-27 for 72 h. **, P = 0.0089. (D) Relative Prdm1 and Maf expression as well as Blimp-1 and c-Maf protein level in Th1 cells cultured in the presence of increasing amounts of rhTGF-β (0, 0.04, 0.2, 1, and 5 ng/ml) for 72 h as determined by qPCR and immunoblot, respectively. Indication of protein size is in kD. (E) Frequency of IL-10+ and IFN-γ+ T cells cultured under Th1 skewing conditions in the presence of increasing amounts of rhTGF-β was measured by flow cytometry after PMA/ionomycin restimulation 72 h after Th1 priming (gated on CD4+). A representative dot plot of Th1 cells cultured in the absence (w/o) or the presence (w/); 5 ng/ml of rhTGF-β is shown. (F) Relative Prdm1 and Maf expression in T cells after stimulation with IL-27 (w/o rhTGF-β) or IL-27/rhTGF-β (w/TGF-β; 2 ng/ml) 48 h after activation as determined by qPCR. From left to right: **, P = 0.0011; **, P = 0.009. (G) ELISA of IL-10 produced by IL-27–stimulated T cells in the absence (w/o) or presence (w/) of rhTGF-β (2 ng/ml) measured 72 h after stimulation. **, P = 0.007. (H) IL-10 production by WT or CKO T cells after exposure to increasing amounts of rmIL-27 (1, 5, and 25 ng/ml) in the absence (w/o) or presence (w/); 2 ng/ml of rhTGF-β for 72 h was measured by ELISA. Data are representative of three independent experiments (mean ± SEM).
(O’Garra and Vieira, 2007). Accordingly, effector T cells transiently express IL-10 linked to a state of full activation and effector cytokine production (Saraiva et al., 2009). Although advances have been made to unravel the transcriptional program leading to IL-10 expression in Th17 and Tr1 cells by demonstrating critical functions of c-Maf and AhR (Pot et al., 2009; Xu et al., 2009; Apetoh et al., 2010), the respective mechanisms operating in IFN-γ–producing Th1 cells are less well understood. At least in vitro, Th1-derived IL-10 is known to require IL-12 and STAT4 (Chang et al., 2007; Saraiva et al., 2009).

Here, we report that the transcriptional regulator Blimp-1 is induced by IL-12 in a STAT4–dependent manner and is essential for IL-10 production in Th1 cells. Blimp-1, which is best known for its critical function in plasma cell differentiation (Martins and Calame, 2008), is required for IL-10 expression from Th1 cells both in vitro and in vivo. In the absence of Blimp-1, IL-10 production is largely abrogated, whereas IFN-γ production is unaffected. More importantly, mice with a T cell–specific Blimp-1 deficiency show increased inflammation and immunopathology in T. gondii infection, suggesting that Blimp-1 significantly contributes to the IL-10–dependent self-limitation of Th1 immune responses.

Blimp-1 expression in Th1 cells in vitro is dependent on STAT4, which explains its late induction during Th1 polarization. The early phase of Th1 differentiation is IL-12 independent and instead relies on IFN-γ–mediated induction of T-bet, which in turn is required for the up-regulation of IFN-12Rβ2 (Schulz et al., 2009). Consistent with the transient nature of IL-10 expression in Th1 cells, Blimp-1 activity is restricted to an effector stage and is likely to coincide with high availability of proinflammatory cytokines such as IL-12. Indeed, Blimp-1 expression has been found previously to be limited to highly polarized effector CD4⁺ T cells, and therefore associated with effector cytokine secretion (Martins et al., 2006; Martins and Calame, 2008). The same is true for CD8⁺ T cells, where Blimp-1 is critical for IL-10 production and its expression is limited to effector and memory CD8⁺ T cells, and requires CD4 T cell help (Kallies et al., 2006; Martins et al., 2006; Sun et al., 2011). Even in regulatory T cells, Blimp-1 is involved in IL-10 regulation, and is expressed in an effector regulatory T cell population that is found at the site of inflammation (Cretney et al., 2011). Given its expression pattern, Blimp-1 is perfectly suited to limit IL-10 production to an effector phase at the peak of an acute inflammatory response.

In agreement with published studies demonstrating that IL-27 induced Blimp-1 in CD8⁺ T cells (Sun et al., 2011), we found that IL-27 had the same effect in CD4⁺ Th cells. IL-27, another cytokine of the IL-12 family, is known to drive IL-10 production in various T cell subsets, including Th1, Th2, and Tr1 cells (Awasthi et al., 2007; Fitzgerald et al., 2007; Stumhofer et al., 2007; Batten et al., 2008). Surprisingly, IL-27–induced IL-10 production in CD4⁺ T cells was completely dependent on Blimp-1, suggesting a much broader function of Blimp-1 in IL-10 regulation beyond the Th1 subset. In fact, IL-27, although not present in classical polarizing conditions used to differentiate T effector cells in vitro, is critically important for IL-10 production from T cells in vivo, as demonstrated in IL-27R–deficient mice (Awasthi et al., 2007; Stumhofer et al., 2007). Interestingly, during the Th1–driven immune response in T. gondii or malaria infection models, IL-27 has been shown to be critical for IL-10 induction in Th1 cells (Stumhofer et al., 2007; Freitas do Rosário et al., 2012), despite the enhanced levels of IL-12 present in these models. Although IL-12 and IL-27 seem to use different pathways to induce Blimp-1, IL-12 by signaling through STAT4 and IL-27 by signaling through STAT1/3 (Stumhofer et al., 2007), their coexpression in many Th1-driven immune responses makes it likely that both cytokines synergize in promoting Blimp-1–dependent IL-10 expression in Th1 cells in vivo.

Interestingly, in Th17 cells (Salehi et al., 2012), and as shown here in Tr1 cells, Blimp-1 expression and function is antagonized by TGF-β. Indeed, we find that ectopic expression of Blimp-1 in Th17 cells strongly induces IL-10 expression (unpublished data). TGF-β, while potently suppressing Blimp-1 expression, induces c-Maf, a transcription factor known to be critical for IL-10 expression in Th17 and Tr1 cells (Pot et al., 2009; Xu et al., 2009; Apetoh et al., 2010; Lee et al., 2012). In fact, for Tr1 cells we demonstrate a TGF-β–induced shift in the regulation of IL-10 expression from a Blimp-1–dependent to a Blimp-1–independent pathway that relies instead on c-Maf/AhR. IL-10 expression in Th17 cells is entirely driven by TGF-β and independent of Blimp-1.

c-Maf expression is induced by a variety of stimuli, including cytokines and cell-based ligands such as IL-27, TGF-β, and ICOS ligand (Bauquet et al., 2009; Pot et al., 2009; Xu et al., 2009; Apetoh et al., 2010), suggesting that c-Maf is part of several transcriptional programs regulating IL-10 expression. Accordingly, we find that Blimp-1 synergizes with c-Maf in Th1 cells to drive IL-10 production. Although c-Maf expression is low in in vitro differentiated Th1 cells compared with other T cell subsets, it can be potently induced by activation of the Notch pathway. We have previously reported that Notch strongly enhances IL-10 production in Th1 cells both in vitro and in vivo when activated through the ligand Dll4 (Rutz et al., 2008; Kassner et al., 2010). Here, we show that Notch activation further up-regulates c-Maf expression and leads to enhanced binding of c-Maf to the II10 promoter. Interestingly, although Notch activation did not directly affect Blimp-1 expression or function, Notch–induced IL-10 expression strictly required Blimp-1, demonstrating that Th1 cells most likely cannot use a Blimp-1–independent transcriptional program of IL-10 expression, unlike Tr1 cells.

Our data further demonstrate that distinct transcriptional programs, activated through various signals from the environment, drive IL-10 production in T cells. A better understanding of how T cells integrate these signals into a coherent but highly flexible transcriptional regulation of IL-10 will help to develop more targeted therapeutic strategies.
T cell purification, activation, and differentiation. CD4+CD62L+CD25− naive T cells were purified by MACS from spleen and lymph nodes. For APC-dependent assays (ectopic overexpression of Blimp-1, c-Maf, and Prdm1), 2.5 × 10^6 naive T cells were co-cultured with 10^7 MACS-sorted APC-dependent assays (ectopic overexpression of Blimp-1, c-Maf, and Prdm1) were purchased from R&D Systems. All blocking antibodies were produced according to the manufacturer’s manual (Miltenyi Biotec). To induce T helper cell polarization, the following cytokines and blocking antibodies were added: Th0, 10 ng/ml rmIL-2, 10 µg/ml anti-IL-4; Th1, 10 ng/ml rmIL-12, 10 µg/ml rmIL-2, 10 µg/ml anti-IL-4; Th2, 10 ng/ml rmIL-4, 10 ng/ml rmIL-2, 10 µg/ml anti-IL-4; Th17, 20 ng/ml rmIL-6, 10 ng/ml rmIL-23, 10 ng/ml rmIL-1β, 2 ng/ml rhTGF-β, 10 µg/ml anti-IL-4, 10 µg/ml anti-IFN-γ, and 10 µg/ml anti-IL-2 (Sigma-Aldrich). rmIL-27 was used at a concentration of 20 ng/ml. All polarizing cytokines were purchased from R&D Systems. All blocking antibodies were produced in house.

IL-10 secretion assay. IL-10 secretion assay was performed according to the manufacturer’s manual (Miltenyi Biotec). In brief, Th1 polarized cells were restimulated with 10 ng/ml PMA and 1 µg/ml Ionomycin (Sigma-Aldrich) for 3 h at day 5 of in vitro culture. Then, cells were washed to remove any secreted cytokines and labeled with IL-10 Catch Reagent. After a secretion period of 45 min at 37°C, cells were washed again and stained with IL-10 detection antibody (mouse PE–anti–IL-10 AB; Miltenyi Biotec). IL-10 secreting and nonsecreting cells were finally sorted via FACS for further analysis.

Gene array analysis and real-time qPCR. Affymetrix mouse genome 430 2.0 arrays were used to analyze the global gene expression profiles of IL-10–expressing and nonexpressing Th1 cells (GEO Accession no.: GSE57417). RNA isolation and preparation for the hybridization to the chip was done using the Gene Chip 3’ IVT Express Kit. The readout of the signals was performed using the GeneChip Scanner 3000 7G System and the GeneChip Operating Software (GCCS; v1.1.1; Affymetrix). Transcripts up-regulated >2× in IL-10–producing versus nonproducing Th1 cells and a detection p-value <0.05 were analyzed in silico using the AmiGO web interface (Ashburner et al., 2000). Transcripts that were associated with a GO-Term including transcription and/or their involvement in the biological process of positive regulation of gene expression (GO:0010628) were selected. mRNA for real-time PCR was isolated with the RNeasy mini kit according to the manual of the manufacturer (QIAGEN). 100 ng mRNA was used for reverse transcription using the TaqMan Reverse Transcription kit (Applied Biosystems) as it is described in the manufacturer’s protocol. qPCR was performed using a LightCycler (Roche) and the LightCycler FastStart DNA Master SYBR Green 1 kit. The mRNA expression is presented relative to the expression of the housekeeping gene ubiquitin (UBC). Real-time PCR primer can be found in Table S1.

Immunoblot analysis. Total cell protein extracts were resolved on 10% SDS-PAGE gels and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. After blocking, membranes were incubated with primary antibodies overnight at 4°C (anti–Blimp-1, anti–c-Maf, anti–IL-10, anti–IFN-γ, anti–CD28 (1 µg/ml) was added (both BD). For APC-free assays, 0.25 × 10^6 naive T cells were co-cultured with 0.75 × 10^6 MACS Beads in 12-well flat-bottom plates in RPMI medium (Gibco). To activate T cells, soluble anti-CD3 (0.5 µg/ml) and anti-CD28 (1 µg/ml) was added (both BD). For APC-free assays, 0.25 × 10^6 naive T cells were cultured with 0.75 × 10^6 MACS Beads in 96-well flat-bottom plates. MACS Beads were coated with anti-CD3 and anti-CD28 (30 µg of total primary IgG antibody per 10^6 beads) before seeding. Notch activation via DB4 was induced by additional co-culture with MACS Beads covalently coated with recombinant mouse DB4. All reagents for APC-free stimulation were purchased from Miltenyi Biotec. To induce T helper cell polarization, the following cytokines and blocking antibodies were added: Th0, 10 ng/ml rmIL-2, 10 µg/ml anti-IL-4 (11B11), 10 µg/ml anti–IFN-γ (AN18,17,24); Th1, 10 ng/ml rmIL-12, 10 µg/ml rmIL-2, 10 µg/ml anti-IL-4; Th2, 10 ng/ml rmIL-4, 10 ng/ml rmIL-2, 10 µg/ml anti-IL-4; Th17, 20 ng/ml rmIL-6, 10 ng/ml rmIL-23, 10 ng/ml rmIL-1β, 2 ng/ml rhTGF-β, 10 µg/ml anti-IL-4, 10 µg/ml anti-IFN-γ, and 10 µg/ml anti-IL-2 (S4B6). rmIL-27 was used at a concentration of 20 ng/ml. All polarizing cytokines were purchased from R&D Systems. All blocking antibodies were produced in house.

Intracellular cytokine staining. In vitro polarized or ex vivo–derived T cells were restimulated with PMA/ionomycin for 5 h. Brefeldin A was added after 1 h of polyclonal restimulation. Cells were hereafter treated with LIVE/DEAD Fixable Aqua Dead Cell Stain kit (Invitrogen). Then cells were fixed with 2% paraformaldehyde (PFA; Sigma-Aldrich) for 20 min at room temperature. For FACS analysis, cells were stained in 0.5% saponin (Sigma-Aldrich). The following antibodies were used: PE–anti–CD4, PE–Cy7–anti–IFN-γ (both BD), and PE–anti–IL-10 (eBioscience). Foxp3 co-staining was done subsequently to the intracellular cytokine staining using the eBioscience Foxp3 Transcription Factor Staining Buffer Set and according to manufacturer’s instructions. FACS analysis was performed on a FACS–Canto (BD).

ELISA. For detection of IL-10 production from in vitro cultures, the IL-10 Ready-SET-Go kit from eBioscience was used according to manufacturer’s instructions.

Retroviral transduction. Retroviral supernatants were produced by transfecting HEK 293T cells with pMY-IRE-S-GFP, pMY-Prdm1-IRE-S-GFP, pMY-Prdm1-IRE-S-GFP, or pMY-Prdm1-IRE-S-GFP using the calcium phosphate method. Generation and origin of pMY-IRE-S-GFP and pMY-N3ICD-IRE-S-GFP, the retroviral packaging plasmid pCAG, and pEco was described earlier (Rutz et al., 2008). Prdm1-cDNA (provided by J. Prado, Stanford University, Stanford, CA) was cloned into pMY-IRE-S-GFP. 24 h after seeding, preactivated T cells from APC–T cell co-cultures were transduced by spin infection (1,800 rpm, 75 min). GFP served as a transfection marker.
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Figure S1. Computational analysis of STAT, MARE, and Blimp-1 consensus sequences within CNSs upstream of Il10. Analysis of sequence homology and identification of putative regulatory sequences were performed using the ECR browser (http://ecrbrowser.dcode.org) and RVista 2.0 software. Putative STAT, MARE, and Blimp-1 binding sites are highlighted within the respective CNS sequence (one mismatch within a presumed binding site compared with the published core sequence was tolerated).
Studies of (Ehret et al., 2001):

MAF response element (MARE) (Katakai et al., 1994):

**Figure S2.** Computational analysis of STAT and MARE consensus sequences within CNSs in the Prdm1 locus. Analysis of sequence homology and identification of putative regulatory sequences were performed as in Fig. S1. Putative STAT and MARE binding sites are highlighted within the respective CNS-sequence (one mismatch within a presumed binding site compared with the published core sequence was tolerated).

**Table S1.** Sequences of primer used for qPCR analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Forward primer (5′–3′)</th>
<th>Reverse primer (5′–3′)</th>
<th>MgCl2 concentration</th>
<th>Annealing temperature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ubc</td>
<td>AGCCCCAGTGTCACCACCAAG</td>
<td>TCACCCCCCCAGAAAAAGAAC</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>60°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Il10</td>
<td>ATGCATTTCCTCCTGGTAA</td>
<td>TCCGAGAGGGTACAAACCG</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>60°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maf</td>
<td>GCATGCTGAGCAGTATGGT</td>
<td>ATGTACACCGGGAGGCTGAA</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>65°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prdm1</td>
<td>GACGCGGTTCTTCCTGCTCA</td>
<td>GCCATCTGGGAAACTGTGA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>60°C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Table S2. Sequences of primer used for ChIP-qPCR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Forward primer (5′–3′)</th>
<th>Reverse primer (5′–3′)</th>
<th>MgCl₂ concentration</th>
<th>Annealing temperature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Il10 locus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNS-20</td>
<td>CTTCCATTGCCACACACACAC</td>
<td>GGGTCCATTGCCCTTCTTTTGA</td>
<td>2.5 mM</td>
<td>65 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNS-9</td>
<td>CTGAGAAGAAAGCCAGGCATC</td>
<td>TTTGCGTGTACACGTGTGTT</td>
<td>2 mM</td>
<td>65 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNS-4.5</td>
<td>GCCAGAGTTTCACAGGACATT</td>
<td>GTATCCAACCCACTTGACAC</td>
<td>2 mM</td>
<td>65 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNS-0.5</td>
<td>CTTCTCTCTGACCAACTTGCC</td>
<td>TGGGTGAAACTGTCGATATT</td>
<td>3 mM</td>
<td>65 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prdm1 locus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNS-2</td>
<td>CCCGTTCTCCCCACACAACAAA</td>
<td>GCAGGAGGCTGTATTCTTT</td>
<td>3 mM</td>
<td>65 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNS-1.5</td>
<td>GCAAAACAAAGCCCAACTCT</td>
<td>TCTGTGATACCTCCACACACC</td>
<td>1.5 mM</td>
<td>65 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNS-1</td>
<td>AGTGAAGTGAAGGCTGTGGAA</td>
<td>CAGGACATGTGATGCCATCTAC</td>
<td>2.5 mM</td>
<td>65 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNS+14 (Intron 5; Ochiai et al., 2008)</td>
<td>GTIAACTCTGGTCTCTGCTTTC</td>
<td>TCTTAATTGGCTGAGCGGAC</td>
<td>3 mM</td>
<td>65 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative region; Stittrich et al., 2010</td>
<td>GTGCAATCCCTGGGTATCC</td>
<td>GATGTTGGAGCCAGAGAAG</td>
<td>3 mM</td>
<td>65 °C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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