Pairing Dynamics of Polar States in a Quenched $p$-Wave Superfluid Fermi Gas
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We study the pairing dynamics of polar states in a single species $p$-wave superfluid Fermi gas following a sudden change of the interaction strength. The anisotropy of pair interaction together with the presence of the centrifugal barrier results in profoundly different pairing dynamics compared to the $s$-wave case. Depending on the direction of quenches, quench to the BCS regime results in large oscillatory depletion of momentum occupation inside the Fermi sea or large oscillatory filling of momentum occupation. A crucial role of the resonant state supported by the centrifugal barrier in the pairing dynamics is elucidated.
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**Introduction.**—Pairing of fermions and condensation of pairs are profound phenomena that have been the subject of intensive research in many different areas of physics including condensed matter, nuclear matter, quark matter, and cold atomic gases [1–5]. While study on fermionic condensates has a long history, a high degree of control over cold gases with tunable interactions via Feshbach resonances (FRs) has mapped the novel landscape of superfluidity and has provided a new opportunity to investigate further the nature of these symmetry-broken states from a different perspective. Although the amplitude mode, referred to as the Higgs mode [6], of the order parameter was anticipated to appear in a superconductor in response to a small perturbation in nonadiabatic regime [7], recent resurgent studies on the amplitude modes of order parameters have been motivated from new prospects in cold atomic gases [8]: the large dynamical time scale and high controllability of the cold atomic gases have made quantum quench, the sudden change of a system’s parameter(s) at zero temperature, become a practical subject of experimental control as well as theoretical investigation and provided unprecedented opportunities of studying the subsequent nonequilibrium dynamics. For the last decade, the coherent dynamics of the order parameter induced by quantum quenches in $s$-wave Fermi gases has been investigated and classified [9–17].

Finite-angular-momentum-paired atomic superfluids are compelling because they are or may be connected to superfluid $^3$He [2], neutron superfluids inside neutron stars [18], high-$T_c$ superconductors, and the topological features useful for the quantum computing [19,20]. As with finite-angular-momentum-paired superfluids, $p$-wave-paired atomic gases can exhibit distinct phases of superfluidity characterized by their rich structure of order parameters [21–23]; these phases and the phase transitions between them can be accessible via tuning between BCS and Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) limits with a $p$-wave FR. In several experiments [24–29], $p$-wave FRs have been realized and also there have been efforts or proposals [30–37] for achieving stable $p$-wave atomic superfluids which has been challenging due to inelastic collisional losses [38,39]. Furthermore, in $^3$He, the pure polar ($p_x$) state has been recently realized below the transition temperature in uniaxially anisotropic aerogel [40]. In addition, the dynamical behaviors of the order parameters of axial ($p_x + ip_y$) states of $p$-wave superfluid Fermi gases in two dimensions have been theoretically studied in Refs. [41,42].

Motivated by the recent advancement, we study the pairing dynamics after a sudden change in the interaction strength for polar states of a $p$-wave superfluid Fermi gas at zero temperature. Dynamics of the pairing field as well as the momentum distribution and the pair-amplitude distribution in momentum space is obtained numerically within a mean-field (MF) approach. Unlike previous studies for axial states [41,42] in two dimensions, we especially consider three-dimensional systems since the MF approach is more reliable and we can make more direct comparison between existing results on $s$-wave superfluids in three dimensions [9–17].

Our findings are as follows: the anisotropy of the $p$-wave-paired polar states together with the presence of the centrifugal barrier gives rise to the pairing dynamics qualitatively different from the $s$-wave Fermi gas. When the interaction is quenched to the BCS side either from the BCS or BEC side, a centrifugal barrier supports resonant molecular states at the final strength of the interaction. We show the crucial role of the resonant state causing the rich
pairing dynamics of \( p \)-wave Fermi superfluids, which has not been clarified in the previous studies [41,42]. (i) When the interaction is changed to a value of stronger attraction within the BCS regime, an oscillatory hole burning (large depletion in momentum distribution) appears at different rates depending on the polar angle from the symmetry axis. (ii) When the interaction is changed to a value of weaker attraction within the BCS regime or changed from the BCS regime to the BCS regime, an oscillatory particle peak (large filling in the momentum distribution) appears at different rates depending on the polar angle from the symmetry axis. (iii) The frequency of the decaying oscillation of the pairing field agrees with hole-burning or particle-peak frequency on the symmetry axis. This is connected to the observation that pair amplitudes in the region of hole burning or particle peak located off the symmetry axis in momentum space display a phase structure like a vortex ring.

**Model and formulation.**—We consider a single-species polarized Fermi gas with a \( p \)-wave interaction \( V_{\text{I}}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') \),

\[
H = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}}{2} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{q}} V_{\text{I}}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') \hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}}^\dagger \hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{q}},
\]

(1)

where \( \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \) creates a fermion atom of momentum \( \mathbf{k} \), \( \hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}}^\dagger = \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}^\dagger \) and \( \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = k^2/2M - \mu \) with \( M \) the atom mass, \( \mu \) the chemical potential, and \( \hbar = 1 \). The Pauli principle excludes the possibility of \( s \)-wave channel interaction for a single-species Fermi gas and we introduce the dominant \( p \)-wave attractive interaction potential of a separable form

\[
V_{\text{I}}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') = -4\pi\text{g} \Gamma_{\text{nm}}(\mathbf{k}) \Gamma_{\text{mn}}(\mathbf{k}'),
\]

(2)

with

\[
\Gamma_{\text{m}}(\mathbf{K}) = \frac{\text{k}_0}{\text{k}^2 + \text{k}_0^2} \text{Y}_{1,\text{m}}(\mathbf{K}),
\]

(3)

where \( g \) is a coupling strength, \( M \) is the angular momentum projection, and \( k_0 \sim R_0^{-1} \) is a momentum scale set by the \( p \)-wave interaction range \( R_0 \) in real space [43,44].

In the \( p \)-wave FR, the dipolar anisotropy splits FR into a doublet of \( m = \pm 1 \) and \( m = 0 \) resonances [24]. Because of the dipolar splitting, the system can be independently tuned into \( m = \pm 1 \) and \( m = 0 \) resonances displaying axial \((p_x + i p_y)\) and polar \((p_z)\) states separately [21–23,25]. We consider a \( p \)-wave FR of \( m = 0 \) projection: \( \Gamma_0(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [k_0 k_x / (k^2 + k_0^2)] \text{Y}_{1,0}(\mathbf{k}) \), where \( \text{Y}_{1,0}(\mathbf{k}) = \sqrt{3/4\pi} \cos \theta \).

The low energy scattering amplitude for the \( p \)-wave channel \( f_{\text{I}}(k) = k^2 / [-1 / a_p + (r_p k^2 / 2) - ik^3] \) is obtained provided \( g \) and \( k_0 \) are related to the two parameters \( a_p \) (scattering volume and \( r_p \) has dimension of inverse length):

\[
(1/4\pi g) = -M V / 16\pi^2 k_0^3 + \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \big| \Gamma_0(k)^2 / (k^2 / M) \big| \quad \text{and} \quad r_p = -1 / k_0 (k^2 / (4 k_0 a_p)) \]

[43]. The pole of the scattering amplitude is given by \( E_{\text{pole}} \approx 2/M a_p r_p \), which corresponds to a bound state for \( a_p < 0 \) and a resonant state (due to a centrifugal barrier) for \( a_p > 0 \). The width of the resonant state is \( \Gamma_p \approx E_{\text{pole}} \sqrt{32 / |a_p r_p|} \) [22].

We employ the mean-field approximation for pairing between atoms of equal and opposite momenta (see Ref. [21] for an argument on the reliability of this approximation in \( p \)-wave Fermi gases of low density). The time evolution of our system is described by the time-dependent Bogoliubov–de Gennes (BdG) equations

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \begin{pmatrix} u_k(t) \\ v_k(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_k & \Delta_k(t) \\ \Delta_k^*(t) & -\xi_k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_k(t) \\ v_k(t) \end{pmatrix},
\]

(4)

where \( u_k(t) \) and \( v_k(t) \) are quasiparticle amplitudes and the pairing field \( \Delta_k(t) \) is given by

\[
\Delta_k(t) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} V_{\text{I}}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') u_{\mathbf{k}'}^*(t) v_{\mathbf{k}'}(t).
\]

(5)

In our potential of separable form, \( \Delta_k = \Gamma_0(\mathbf{k}) \Delta_0 = \Gamma_0(\mathbf{k}) (-4\pi\text{g}\sum_{\mathbf{k}} \Gamma_0(\mathbf{k}) a_k^* v_{\mathbf{k}}) \). For convenience, we call \( |\Delta_0| \) as a pairing amplitude even though the actual pairing amplitude \( |\Gamma_0(\mathbf{k}) \Delta_0| \) has a momentum dependence. The anisotropic nature of \( \Gamma_0(\mathbf{k}) \) plays a role in the pairing dynamics as we can see shortly.

In our discussion, we assume that gas sample size is smaller than the coherence length and neglect inhomogeneous phase fluctuations and vortices. Our initial state is prepared in the ground state at an initial scattering volume \( (a_p)_{\text{init}} \) and the scattering volume is suddenly changed to a final value \( (a_p)_{\text{fin}} \) in a time interval \( \delta t = 0.01/\epsilon_F < 1/\epsilon_F \), where \( \epsilon_F = k_F^2 / 2M \) with \( k_F = (6\pi^2 N / V)^{1/3} \). The time-dependent BdG equations are numerically solved using a 4th order predictor-corrector method.

**Results.**—We choose \( k_0 = 40k_F \), where \( k_0 / k_F \gg 1 \) is satisfied for the low density of the gas.

Equilibrium properties of polar states from BCS to BEC regime can be obtained by solving the time-independent BdG equations [44] and our parameters also give qualitatively the same behavior as in Ref. [44]; with increasing \( 1/k_F^3 a_p \), we go from the BCS side (\( \mu < 0 \)) to the BEC side (\( \mu > 0 \)) separated by the unitary point (\( \mu = 0 \)) at \( 1/k_F^3 a_p \approx 0.5 \). The asymptotic value of the pairing amplitude \( |\Delta_0| \) in the BEC limit is \( \Delta_{\text{BEC}} = 8\pi r_F (0.5 k_F^3 / 9 \epsilon_F)^{1/4} \approx 29.2 \epsilon_F \) and \( |\Delta_0| \) is almost saturated in the BEC side.

First, we consider the case that \( 1/k_F^3 a_p \) is quenched to the value of stronger attraction (hereafter, called “forward quench”): (a) \( \text{BCS} \rightarrow \text{BCS} \) \((1/k_F^3 a_p) \rightarrow -20 \rightarrow -10)\), (b) \( \text{BCS} \rightarrow \text{BEC} \) \((1/k_F^3 a_p) \rightarrow -20 \rightarrow +10)\), and (c) \( \text{BEC} \rightarrow \text{BEC} \) \((1/k_F^3 a_p) \rightarrow +5 \rightarrow +20)\). The dynamics of the pairing amplitude \( |\Delta_0| \) and the momentum distribution \( |v_k|^2(k_y = 0) \) at the local maximum (in time domain) of the
pairing field are shown in Fig. 1. The dynamics in the case of quench within the BEC regime \((1/kF a_p; +5 \rightarrow +20)\) is not shown because \(|\Delta_0|\) is almost saturated in the BEC side and there is no noticeable pairing dynamics. Only the first quadrant of the \(k_z\) plane is shown due to the azimuthal symmetry about \(k_z\) axis and the reflection symmetry on the \(k_x\) plane.

In case (a), large depletion of momentum occupation inside the Fermi sea (called “hole burning”) is observed and it is connected to a large-time-scale oscillation of \(|\Delta_0|\) (on top of it, a short-time-scale decaying oscillation of small amplitude is seen at the early stage up until \(t = 25/\varepsilon_F\) or so). This oscillatory hole burning results from the interconversion between atomic and resonant states. The hole-burning point is at \(k = k_h\) satisfying \(k_h^2/2M \approx \varepsilon_F/2 \approx 0.5\varepsilon_F\), where \(\varepsilon_F\) is the resonant state energy at the final value of \(1/kF a_p\). Also, the location of the hole-burning point is independent of the initial values of the scattering volume for a given final value of the scattering volume.

The anisotropy of the \(p\)-wave pairing field \(|\Delta_0(t) \propto \cos \theta|\) makes the hole-burning rate slow down as the polar angle from the symmetry axis \((k_z \text{ axis})\) increases and hole burning appears as in Fig. 1(a) at the early stage of \(t \varepsilon_F = 25\). Figure 2 shows the density plots of case (a) in Fig. 1 at \(t \varepsilon_F = 25\) (left) and 60 (right). It shows that a hole-burning region created on the \(k_z\) axis evolves into a hole-burning ring that expands in radius and moves to the equator \((k_z = 0)\), while another hole-burning region of smaller extent is being created on the \(k_x\) axis.

The pairing field \(|\Delta_0|\) reaches a local maximum in time domain when the momentum occupation at the hole-burning point is completely depleted (i.e., hole burning is maximum) on the \(k_z\) axis and reaches a local minimum when the hole burning on the \(k_z\) axis disappears. This agreement between the oscillation frequency of the pairing field \(|\Delta_0|\) and the hole-burning frequency on the \(k_z\) axis will be explained when the pair-amplitude distribution is discussed later. The frequency of the hole-burning oscillation in case (a) is \(\omega \approx 0.12\varepsilon_F\). Compared with an \(s\)-wave case where the hole-burning frequency is, in the narrow resonance limit, the detuning energy that is almost molecular (resonant) state energy in the weak coupling limit [10], the hole-burning frequency in the \(p\)-wave Fermi gas is much smaller than the resonant state energy: \(\omega/\varepsilon_F \approx 0.12\) in case (a) above.

As much as the large-time-scale oscillation of \(|\Delta_0|\) is related to the oscillatory hole burning resulting from the interconversion between atomic and resonant states, its oscillation period is qualitatively related to the width of the resonant state even though the quantitative relation is a very complicated problem beyond the discussion in this Letter; the large-time-scale oscillation period becomes longer as the resonance width \(\Gamma\) as well as the resonant state energy becomes smaller when the final \(1/kF a_p\) approaches the unitarity. When the final \(1/kF a_p\) is put in the BEC side \((\mu < 0)\) as in case (b), there does not appear a hole burning: a large-time-scale oscillation connected to hole burnings disappears and there remains a decaying oscillation with a short time scale, which is connected to the small dynamics near the Fermi surface of the initial state [see Fig. 1(b)].

Next, we consider the case that the inverse scattering volume is quenched to the value of weaker attraction (hereafter, called “backward quench”): (a) BCS \(\rightarrow\) BCS \((1/k^3F a_p; -10 \rightarrow -20)\), (b) BEC \(\rightarrow\) BCS \((1/k^3F a_p; +10 \rightarrow -15)\), and (c) BEC \(\rightarrow\) BEC \((1/k^3F a_p; +20 \rightarrow +5\), not shown for the same reason as in the forward quench). Figure 3 shows the dynamics of the pairing amplitude \(|\Delta_0|\) and the momentum distribution \(|v_k|^2(k_y = 0)\) at the designated time. The density plots of cases (a) and (b) in Fig. 3 are displayed in Fig. 4.

When quenched to the BCS regime in the backward direction regardless of whether the initial state is in the BCS or BEC side, there appears a peak of the quasiparticle amplitude (called “particle peak”) in momentum occupation \(|v_k|^2\). This is nothing but a signature of dissociation of (a) BCS-paired atoms and (b) diatomic molecules via resonant state of the final Hamiltonian. In case (a), a particle peak is at \(k = k_p\), satisfying \(k_p^2/2M \approx \varepsilon_F/2 \approx \varepsilon_F\).
The location of the particle peak is independent of the initial states with $1/k_p^2 a_p = -15, -10,$ and $-5$ (also for $+5$ and $+20$ which belong to (b) BEC $\rightarrow$ BCS). In case (b), a particle peak is also at $k = k_p$, satisfying $k_p^2/2M \approx E_r/2 \approx 0.8\epsilon_F$.

The anisotropy of the $p$-wave pairing field $|\Delta_p(t)\propto \cos \theta|$ makes the dissociation rate slow down as the polar angle from the $k_z$ axis increases: a particle-peak appears on the $k_z$ axis at the early stage and moves to the equator while another particle peak is being created on the $k_z$ axis as seen in Figs. 3 and 4. The pairing amplitude $|\Delta_0|$ reaches a local minimum in time domain when the particle peak reaches a maximum on the $k_z$ axis, and it reaches a local maximum in time domain when the particle peak disappears on the $k_z$ axis: the oscillation frequency of the pairing amplitude $|\Delta_0|$ agrees with that of the particle peak on the $k_z$ axis.

To understand the relation between the dynamics of the pairing amplitude $|\Delta_0|$ and the dynamics of quasiparticles in momentum space, a close look at the dynamics of the pair amplitudes $u_k v_k$ is helpful. Figure 5 shows the magnitude (left panel) and phase (right panel) of the pair amplitude $u_k^* v_k$ in momentum space in the cases of forward quench $(1/k_p^2 a_p: -20 \rightarrow -10)$ and backward quenches $(1/k_p^2 a_p: -10 \rightarrow -20$ and $+10 \rightarrow +15)$.

The hole-burning region (ring shape around the $k_z$ axis) off the $k_z$ axis has a phase rotation of $2\pi$ around the core (see the red circle in the right panel of Fig. 5(a)) while the hole-burning region created on the $k_z$ axis cannot topologically. This makes the contribution of the pair amplitudes around the vortex-ring-shaped region to the pairing field $\Delta_0$ become very small because $\Delta_0 \propto \sum_k \Gamma_0(k') u_k^* v_k$ and $\Gamma_0(k)$ takes similar values on the cross-sectional area of the hole-burning ring: there is a strong cancellation in the summation $\sum_k u_k^* v_k$ for the hole-burning region off the $k_z$ axis. Therefore, the dynamics of $|\Delta_0|$ is dominated by the hole burning on the $k_z$ axis, which explains the agreement between the oscillation frequency of the pairing amplitude $|\Delta_0|$ and the hole-burning frequency on the $k_z$ axis. The successively created hole-burning region on the $k_z$ axis has a smaller extent in momentum space than the previous one due to the presence of a hole-burning region created off the $k_z$ axis (see Fig. 2), and $\Delta_0$ will have a decaying amplitude
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in time. A similar argument can be applied to the cases of backward quenches [Figs. 5(b) and 5(c)].

Conclusion.—We have discovered the novel dynamics of hole burnings and particle peaks in the momentum occupation and the emergence of vortex-ring structures in pair amplitudes. Our work has also clarified the mechanism of these dynamics and has figured out the important role of the quasibound (resonant) state in the BCS regime. The hole burning or particle peak in momentum distribution has quite distinctive dynamical behavior which might be observed in the time-of-flight experiments [45]. In addition, the configuration of the pairing field could be observed by the standard pair projection technique [46–48], and the number of the bound or quasibound molecules can also be measured by selectively detecting atoms from dissociated molecules which have higher kinetic energy, as has been done in Ref. [28].
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