Tie1 controls angiopoietin function in vascular remodeling and inflammation
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The angioptoen/Tie1 (ANG/Tie1) receptor system controls developmental and tumor angiogenesis, inflammatory vascular remodeling, and vessel leakage. ANG1 is a Tie2 agonist that promotes vascular stabilization in inflammation and sepsis, whereas ANG2 is a context-dependent Tie2 agonist or antagonist. A limited understanding of ANG signaling mechanisms and the orphan receptor Tie1 has hindered development of ANG/Tie-targeted therapeutics. Here, we determined that both ANG1 and ANG2 binding to Tie2 increases Tie1-Tie2 interactions in a β1 integrin-dependent manner and that Tie1 regulates ANG1-induced Tie2 trafficking in endothelial cells. Endothelial Tie1 was essential for the agonist activity of ANG1 and autocrine ANG2. Deletion of endothelial Tie1 in mice reduced Tie2 phosphorylation and downstream Akt activation, increased FOXP1 nuclear localization and transcriptional activation, and prevented ANG1- and ANG2-induced capillary-to-venous remodeling. However, in acute endotoxemia, the Tie1 ectodomain that is responsible for interaction with Tie2 was rapidly cleaved, ANG1 agonist activity was decreased, and autocrine ANG2 agonist activity was lost, which led to suppression of Tie2 signaling. Tie1 cleavage also occurred in patients with hantavirus infection. These results support a model in which Tie1 directly interacts with Tie2 to promote ANG-induced vascular responses under noninflammatory conditions, whereas in inflammation, Tie1 cleavage contributes to loss of ANG2 agonist activity and vascular stability.

Introduction

The adult vascular system is subject to continuous adaptation to the needs of organ function, mediated by crosstalk between vascular and parenchymal cells (1, 2). While angiogenesis research has focused primarily on the mechanisms of vessel sprouting, the remodeling of vascular networks in adult organs has received less attention. Vascular integrity and remodeling are governed by the cooperative interaction of endothelial growth factors and inflammatory cytokines (3).

The endothelial angiopoietin/Tie (ANG/Tie) system regulates angiogenesis during development and tumor growth, contributes to capillary-to-venous remodeling in inflammation, and maintains vascular integrity (4, 5). This system comprises the Tie1 and Tie2 (also known as Tek) tyrosine kinase receptors and the Tie2 ligands ANG1, ANG2, and ANG4 (the mouse ortholog is also called ANG3) (6–9). ANG1 is an agonistic paracrine ligand of Tie2 that stimulates Akt-dependent phosphorylation and nuclear exclusion of the Forkhead box protein O1 (FOXP1) transcription factor (10). FOXP1 inactivation downregulates the expression of genes involved in endothelial destabilization, apoptosis, metabolism, and growth control (11, 12). In contrast, ANG2 is an endothelial autocrine ligand that functions as a context-dependent weak agonist or antagonist of Tie2. The functions of ANG3/4 have not been identified, and no ligand has been found for Tie1, which is considered an orphan receptor (5). The function of the Tie1 receptor and the context dependency of ANG2 activity are key unknown factors concerning the mechanisms and functions of the ANG/Tie receptor system of endothelial cells.

ANG1 and Tie2, but not Tie1, are essential for cardiac development, whereas both Tie1 and Tie2 are required for angiogenesis after midgestation (13, 14). Tie1-deficient mice die from hemorrhage of the disrupted microvasculature between E13.5 and birth, depending on the genetic background (15, 16). These mice also have severe edema from abnormal lymphatic vascular development (16–19). Furthermore, Tie1 is required for sprouting angiogenesis in postnatal development of the retina (20, 21). Although Tie1 is downregulated after embryogenesis in some vascular beds, it continues to be expressed in endothelial cells of the heart, lung, and kidney, among other adult organs (22). Tie1 expression is increased at sites of angiogenesis in wound healing, ovarian follicle maturation, and tumors, whereas Tie1 deletion inhibits angiogenesis and tumor growth (20, 22, 23).
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Tie1 deletion also reduces leukocyte adhesion receptor expression, slows progression of atherosclerosis, and downregulates proinflammatory markers in Apoe−/− mice (24, 25).

In the adult vasculature, administration of exogenous angiopoietins increases blood vessel size and induces remodeling of capillaries into venules, where leukocyte adhesion receptor expression is high (26, 27). This change has some features in common with vascular remodeling after Mycoplasma pulmonis infection of the respiratory tract (28). However, the vascular enlargement induced by angiopoietins in the absence of inflammation is accompanied by resistance to leakage (28). Interestingly, ANG2 blocking antibodies reduce inflammatory vascular remodeling and vascular leakage after M. pulmonis infection and are even more efficacious when combined with TNF-α blockade (28, 29).

However, the factors that determine whether ANG2 acts as a Tie2 agonist promoting leakage-resistant vessel remodeling or instead as an antagonist that promotes vascular destabilization and leakage remain unknown. In sepsis, significantly elevated ANG2 levels are predictive of poor patient prognosis (30). Reduced Ang2 gene dosage (31), ANG2 blocking antibodies (32), and ectopic ANG1 reduce sepsis-induced vascular leak and lung injury in mice (33). In comparison, mice expressing reduced Tie2 levels are more susceptible to LPS-induced endotoxia (34, 35). ANG2 inhibition also reduces the harmful inflammation associated with cardiac transplant rejection (36) and improves endothelial-pericyte interactions in diabetic retinopathy (37). Some effects of ANG2 associated with pericyte detachment and vascular destabilization involve integrins (38–40). Integrins can also sensitize endothelial cells to low levels of ANG1 (41). Although integrin coupling to pericellular matrix can influence angiopoietin signaling, the underlying mechanisms remain to be determined.

Although Tie1 does not directly bind angiopoietins, it is phosphorylated in endothelial cells after Ang1 stimulation, in a Tie2-dependent manner (21, 42, 43). Tie1 and Tie2 can be coimmunoprecipitated from endothelial cells, suggesting that they form a complex (42). Indeed, Tie1 is translocated together with Tie2 to cell-cell contacts where multimeric angiopoietin ligands connect Tie receptors in trans across endothelial cell–cell junctions (44, 45). The downstream signaling of Tie1 is poorly understood. Previous studies have shown that ligand stimulation of a CSF-1 receptor/Tie1 receptor chimera results in its autophosphorylation and downstream activation of PI3K and Akt (46). Tie1 deletion in mouse endothelial cells in vivo reduces Ang1-induced phosphorylation of the Tie2 Y1106 residue, indicating that Tie1 is required for Ang1-induced Tie2 activation (20). However, data from other studies suggest that Tie1 inhibits Tie2 signaling, because Tie2 phosphorylation induced by Ang1 or Ang2 was increased by Tie1 silencing (43, 47, 48). The role of Tie1 in determining the context-dependent agonist/antagonist action of Ang2 is unknown.

To elucidate the function of Tie1 in angiopoietin signaling during vascular remodeling, we examined Tie1-Tie2 interactions in endothelial cells in vitro and compared effects of Ang1 and Ang2 delivered by adenoviral vectors to WT mice and mice with endothelial cell–specific deletion of Tie or inducible endothelial cell–specific overexpression of mouse Ang2. To assess corresponding changes in acute inflammation, we also examined effects of LPS-induced endotoxia and TNF-α in these models.

We found that angiopoietins promoted a direct interaction of Tie1 and Tie2 and that this interaction was regulated by integrin β1, Ang1- and Ang2-induced Tie2 activation and vascular remodeling were reduced or absent in mice where Tie1 was deleted in vascular endothelial cells. Tie1 deletion also attenuated Ang1-induced Akt activation and nuclear exclusion of FOXO1. We found that Tie1 was suppressed via ectodomain cleavage during acute inflammation and that this was associated with reduced agonistic activity of Ang2 and decreased Tie2 signaling. These results indicate that the agonist action of Ang2 is attenuated in inflammation and that Tie1 is an essential component of the angiopoietin signaling system that has potential for therapeutic targeting in disease.

Results

Angiopoietins induce direct interaction of Tie1 and Tie2. To investigate the dynamics of the Tie receptors in angiopoietin signaling, we transfected HUVECs with retroviral vectors expressing full-length (FL) Tie1 and Tie2, tagged on the C terminus with mCherry and GFP, respectively. Stimulation of FL-Tie2-GFP and FL-Tie1-mCherry expressing endothelial cells with COMP-Ang1 (CAng1) (49) or with recombinant human Ang2 induced colocalization of Tie1 and Tie2 in endothelial cell–cell junctions (Figure 1A and Supplemental Video 1; supplemental material available online with this article; doi:10.1172/JCI84923DS1) (44, 45, 50). To investigate potential interactions of Tie1 and Tie2 receptors, we used fluorescence (Förster) resonance energy transfer (FRET), which reports energy transfer between molecules located within 10 nm of each other. Considering the optimal length of the receptor cytoplasmic domains for FRET (51), we replaced the intracellular domains of Tie1 and Tie2 with the V5-tag and GFP, respectively (Figure 1B). This did not affect angiopoietin-induced receptor translocation to endothelial cell junctions (Figure 1C) (44, 45). Tie1- and Tie2-expressing endothelial cells were stimulated with angiopoietins and fixed, and Tie1 was detected using the Cy3-coupled anti-V5 antibody, according to a previously published method for FRET/fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy (FLIM) (52, 53). FRET acceptor (Cy3) photobleaching increased the GFP emission intensity, indicating that Tie1 and Tie2 directly interact in unstimulated cells (FRET efficiency 15.0% ± 6.4%) (Figure 1, C and E, and Supplemental Figure 1A). Interestingly, the interaction was increased at endothelial cell junctions when the cells were stimulated with CAng1 (32.1% ± 5.4%), Ang1 (30.4% ± 2.7%), or Ang2 (29.2% ± 6.8%) (Figure 1E and Supplemental Figure 1A). In contrast, no interaction was detected between Tie1-V5 and a membrane-anchored GFP, which was used as a control (FRET efficiency 3.3% ± 0.67%, Supplemental Figure 1B). Furthermore, using frequency domain FLIM microscopy, we observed significantly shorter GFP fluorescence lifetime values in Tie2-GFP– and Tie1-V5–expressing cells after angiopoietin stimulation (CAng1 1.68 ± 0.09 ns, Ang1 1.64 ± 0.07 ns, and Ang2 1.65 ± 0.06 ns) than in unstimulated control cells (1.89 ± 0.07 ns), indicating that angiopoietins increase the interaction of Tie1 and Tie2 (Figure 1, D and F). Again, the strongest interaction was detected at cell-cell junctions. The endothelial adhesors junction protein VE-cadherin, expressed as a V5-tagged control protein, did not decrease the GFP lifetime, indicating that despite their localization in cell-cell junctions, Tie2-GFP and VE-cadherin-V5 did not interact (GFP lifetime control 2.0 ± 0.1 ns.
determine whether β1 integrin influences the Tie1-Tie2 interaction, we used shRNA lentivirus-mediated gene silencing. Indeed, the interaction was significantly less in β1 integrin (shβ1) silenced cells after CAng1 stimulation than in scramble (shScr) silenced controls when measured using FLIM based on time-correlated single photon counting (TCSPC) microscopy (CAng1-stimulated shScr 1.67 ± 0.09 ns vs. CAng1-stimulated shβ1 1.73 ± 0.09 ns) vs. CAng1 1.95 ± 0.09 ns, ANG1 1.9 ± 0.09 ns, ANG2 1.88 ± 0.07 ns) (Supplemental Figure 1, C and D). These results indicate that Tie1 is coupled to angiopoietin signaling through direct interaction with Tie2 and that both ANG1 and ANG2 can increase this interaction.

Activation of Tie1 and Tie2 in heteromeric complexes depends on β1 integrin. Plating on fibronectin can sensitize endothelial cells to ANG1 signaling via αβ1 integrin-mediated cell adhesion (41). To determine whether β1 integrin influences the Tie1-Tie2 interaction, we used shRNA lentivirus-mediated gene silencing. Indeed, the interaction was significantly less in β1 integrin (shβ1) silenced cells after CAng1 stimulation than in scramble (shScr) silenced controls when measured using FLIM based on time-correlated single photon counting (TCSPC) microscopy (CAng1-stimulated shβ1 1.67 ± 0.09 ns vs. CAng1-stimulated shβ1 1.73 ± 0.09 ns)
phosphorylation of the downstream Akt kinase (Figure 2F), and nuclear exclusion of the Akt target FOXO1 transcription factor, which is known to control ANG2 expression and endothelial cell functions (54) (Figure 2G and Supplemental Figure 4A). Inhibition of β1 integrin binding to fibronectin by blocking antibodies did not affect Tie2 phosphorylation, and β1 integrin silencing did not reduce VEGF-induced VEGFR2 phosphorylation (Figure 2D and Supplemental Figure 4B). These results indicate that α5β1 integrin promotes ANG1-induced formation of heteromeric complexes of Tie1 and Tie2, Tie receptor activation, and downstream signaling.

Figure 2. Effect of α5β1 integrin on angiopoietin-induced Tie receptor activation and downstream signaling in endothelial cells. (A) Representative confocal (bottom) and FLIM (top) images of CAng1-stimulated scramble (shScr) or β1 integrin (shβ1) silenced HUVECs expressing Tie2-GFP alone (left) and with Tie1-V5 (middle and right). Scale bars: 20 μm. (B) Quantification of GFP lifetimes (ns). n(ROI) = 6 for unstimulated shScr, n(ROI) = 4 for unstimulated shβ1, n(ROI) = 12 for CAng1-stimulated shScr, n(ROI) = 16 for CAng1-stimulated shβ1, n(ROI) = 14 for ANG2-stimulated shScr, n(ROI) = 13 for ANG2-stimulated shβ1. A representative experiment is shown (n = 2). ***P < 0.01; ****P < 0.001, Welch’s unequal variances t test, followed by Bonferroni’s post hoc test. Error bars indicate SD. (C–F) HUVECs were silenced using shRNA lentivirus for Scr (n = 10), α5 (n = 2), β1 (n = 6), or β3 integrin (n = 2), stimulated with CAng1 (n = 10) or VEGF (n = 1), immunoprecipitated (IP) for Tie1, Tie2, or VEGFR2, and analyzed for phosphotyrosine. The membranes were reprobed using Tie1, Tie2, and VEGFR2 antibodies. Total lysates (TL) were analyzed for Hsc70, α5, β1, or β3 integrin, Tie1, Tie2, VEGFR2, phospho-Akt (n = 2), or Akt, as indicated. (G) Quantification of FOXO1-positive nuclei relative to DAPI-positive nuclei (%) in shScr and shβ1 HUVECs stimulated with ANG1. n(cells) = 506 for unstimulated shScr, n(cells) = 283 for unstimulated shβ1 integrin, n(cells) = 443 for ANG1-stimulated shScr, n(cells) = 293 for Ang1-stimulated β1 integrin silenced cells. A representative experiment is shown (n = 2). ****P < 0.001, 1-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post hoc test.
Tie1 is necessary for vascular remodeling induced by ANG1 or ANG2. To study the role of Tie1 in angiopoietin signaling in vivo, we first investigated Tie1 function in angiopoietin-induced vascular remodeling. Systemic overexpression by adenoviral vectors encoding COMP-Ang1 (Ad-CAng1) and ANG2 (Ad-Ang2) has been shown to induce vascular remodeling in the trachea (26, 27). We confirmed Tie1 expression in the tracheal blood vessels of heterozygous Tie1lacZ/+ gene-deleted mice by X-gal staining (Figure 3, A and B) and then deleted both alleles of Tie1 using the endothelial-specific deleter Cdh5(PAC)-CreERT2 or Pdgfb-iCreERT2, introduced into Tie1fl/fl mice and Tie1fl/lacZ mice by breeding. Next, angiopoietin expression was induced in Cre-negative control mice and Cre-positive, Tie1-deleted (Tie1ΔEC) littermates by injecting Ad-CAng1 or Ad-Ang2 via the tail vein. Similar levels of CAng1 and ANG2 were detected in the serum (not shown) and lung lysates of adenovirus-transfected control and Tie1-deficient mice (Figure 3, C and D).

Capillaries and postcapillary venules stained for PECAM1 were enlarged in the tracheas of Ad-CAng1– and Ad-Ang2–treated control mice (Figure 3, E–G), in line with previously published results (26, 27). Enlargement was greater after Ad-CAng1 than after Ad-Ang2 treatment. However, vascular enlargement was significantly less in tracheas of Tie1-deficient mice treated with Ad-control, Ad-CAng1, or Ad-Ang2 for 2 weeks. Flag antibody was used to detect angiopoietin expression, and Hsc70 was used as a loading control. (D) Quantification of Tie1 levels relative to loading control from the Western blots in (C). Student’s t test (control vs. Tie1ΔEC in each treatment). (E) Tracheal blood vessels visualized by PECAM1 staining. Note the enlarged postcapillary venules and capillaries in Ad-CAng1– and Ad-Ang2–treated control mice (arrows). Scale bar: 50 μm. (F and G) Diameter measurements for tracheal postcapillary venules (F) and capillaries (μm) (G). Error bars indicate SEM. n = 3–11 (both Pdgfb-iCreERT2 and Cdh5[PAC]-CreERT2 deleters). *P < 0.05; ***P < 0.001, 1-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post hoc test (F and G).
ANG1 has been shown to increase endothelial cell proliferation during vascular remodeling (55). Both Ad-CAng1 and Ad-Ang2 increased endothelial cell proliferation in control mice, but had little effect in Tie1-deficient mice, as shown by staining for the cell proliferation marker Ki67 (Figure 5, A and B). Therefore, we silenced Tie1 in HUVECs using shTie1 lentivirus (Figure 5C) and analyzed endothelial cell proliferation using time-lapse imaging and biochemical analysis by the MTT cell proliferation assay. Tie1-silenced HUVECs proliferated less than untreated or shScr-transfected HUVECs (Figure 5, D and E). However, staining for activated caspase-3/7 indicated that apoptosis of Tie1-silenced HUVECs was increased only when the cells were cultured in serum-free conditions (Supplemental Figure 6). Thus, Tie1 silencing in cultured endothelial cells reduced cell proliferation as after in vivo deletion.

Tie1 deficiency impairs ANG1-induced Tie2 and Akt phosphorylation and FOXO1 inactivation. To further investigate the attenuated responses of Tie1-deficient mice to Ad-CAng1 or Ad-Ang2, we analyzed Tie2 phosphorylation. Tie2 phosphorylation in lung lysates of control mice was greater at 2 days after Ad-CAng1, but not after Ad-Ang2 (Figure 6A). Tie1 deletion decreased the phosphorylation of Tie2 and Akt after Ad-CAng1 (Figure 6A). FOXO1 staining was restricted to the cytoplasm of control HUVECs stimulated with CAng1, but was in the nucleus of Tie1-silenced HUVECs after CAng1, as in unstimulated control HUVECs (Figure 6, B and C). ANG2 caused FOXO1 nuclear exclusion in only some cells (Figure 6, B and C). Increased cytoplasmic FOXO1 staining was detected in endothelial cells of tracheal vessels after Ad-CAng1, but this tended to be less in Tie1-deleted mice (Figure 6D and Supplemental Figure 7). Consistent with FOXO1 inactivation, Ad-CAng1 treatment decreased expression of the FOXO1 target genes endothelial cell specific molecule 1 (Esm1) and Ang2 in the tracheas and lungs of control mice, but the decrease was less in Tie1-deleted mice (Figure 6E) (54). Ad-Ang2 increased Tie1 and reduced Esm1 expression, suggesting agonist ANG2 activity in the trachea (Figure 6E).
Tie1 is required for ANG2 agonist action on Tie2 under baseline conditions. To mimic the autocrine induction of ANG2, we used a tetracycline-regulated transgenic mouse model expressing a VE-cadherin-tTA (Cdh5-tTA) or Tie1-tTA (used in the FVB genetic background) transgene to overexpress mouse ANG2 in the endothelium (Cdh5-tTA tetO-Ang2 or Tie1-tTA tetO-Ang2 mice, referred to hereafter as Ang2EC) (Supplemental Figure 8) (50). We induced ANG2 expression on P0 by omitting tetracycline from the drinking water. Analysis of the double-transgenic Ang2EC mice at 2 to 3 months of age showed enlargement of postcapillary venules and capillaries in the trachea as well as somewhat increased leukocyte counts (Figure 7, A–C, and Supplemental Figure 9, A–D). Ang2 transgene expression for 7 to 11 months in mice of the FVB genetic background led to enlargement of vessels in the trachea and ear skin. The blood vessels in the ear skin were also tortuous and had scattered aneurysm-like enlargements (Supplemental Figure 10, A and B). Quantitative reverse-transcription PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis of the lungs of ANG2 overexpressing mice revealed greater than normal Tie1, but not Tie2, gene expression and less Esm1 expression, consistent with increased Tie2 agonistic activity (Figure 7D).

To investigate the involvement of Tie1 in the agonistic function of ANG2, we introduced the Pdgfb-iCreERT2 deleter and conditional Tie1 alleles into the conditional Ang2EC mice. ANG2 expression was induced in these mice at P0, and Tie1 was deleted in the adults. Western blotting of lung lysates demonstrated the efficiency of ANG2 induction, which was associated with increased Tie1 levels and loss of Tie1 protein in the gene-deleted mice (Figure 7E). Strikingly, Tie2 phosphorylation promoted by endothelial ANG2 overexpression did not occur in the Tie1-deleted mice (Figure 7F), indicating that Tie1 was necessary for the Tie2 agonistic activity of autocrine ANG2. Similarly, when ANG2 agonist activity was tested in HUVECs ectopically expressing FL-Tie2-GFP, Tie1 silencing reduced Tie2 phosphorylation (Figure 7G and Supplemental Figure 11A). Accumulation of FL-Tie2-GFP at endothelial cell junctions after ANG2 stimulation was also less in Tie1-silenced cells than in shScr-treated controls (Supplemental Videos 4 and 5; Supplemental Figure 11C, and Supplemental Figure 12A). Consistent with Tie2 internalization in Tie1-silenced HUVECs, Tie2 protein in the lungs...
Exhibited a reduction in the amount of FL Tie1 and the presence of a reduced molecular weight form of Tie1 in lung lysates, representing cleaved Tie1 ectodomain (Figure 8, D and E, and Supplemental Figure 13A). Also, the level of Tie1 ectodomain in serum was greater than in the control as soon as 30 minutes after LPS (Figure 8F).

Tie1, Tie2, and Ang1 mRNAs were significantly lower in the lungs of LPS-challenged mice than in controls, but the kinetics were slower than for Tie1 protein (Supplemental Figure 13, B and C).

To determine whether Tie1 cleavage occurred in human inflammatory disease, we then measured soluble Tie1 ectodomain (sTie1) in the serum of patients with acute Puumala hantavirus (PUUV) disease (58). The levels of sTie1 were significantly greater in the affected patients than in healthy controls (Figure 8G).

TNF-α is a key cytokine upregulated in sepsis, many infections, and by systemic administration of LPS (59). Tnf and Il1b mRNA in the lungs and TNF-α protein in the serum were signifi-

Figure 6. Tie1 deficiency suppresses ANG1-mediated FOXO1 inactivation both in vitro and in vivo. (A) Western blotting of phospho-Tie2, total Tie2, phospho-Akt, total Akt, and β-actin from lung lysates of control and Tie1ΔEC mice treated with Ad-control, Ad-CAng1, or Ad-Ang2 for 2 days. Representative Western blots (n = 4–9). (B) VE-cadherin (red) and FOXO1 (green) staining of shScr- and shTie1-transfected HUVECs stimulated with CAng1 or ANG2. Arrows point to FOXO1-negative nuclei. Scale bar: 20 μm. (C and D) Quantification of FOXO1-positive nuclei relative to DAPI-positive nuclei (%) in HUVECs (n = 3) (C) and in tracheal blood vessels (n = 4) (D). (E) qRT-PCR analysis of Tie1 and the Foxo1 targets Esm1 and Ang2 mRNA fold changes in the lungs and tracheas. The values are normalized to Pecam1 mRNA expression. n = 4–11 (both Pdgfb-iCreERT2 and Cdh5[PAC]-CreERT2 deleters). Error bars indicate SEM. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001, 1-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post hoc test (C and E: trachea) and Fisher’s LSD test (D and E: lung).

of Ad-CAng1-treated, Tie1-deleted mice was less than in controls (Supplemental Figure 12B). These results indicate that Ad-CAng1 was a much stronger Tie2 agonist than ANG2 and that the agonist activity of both ANG1 and ANG2 was dependent on Tie1.

LPS promotes rapid Tie1 cleavage in inflammation. Decreased levels of Tie2 phosphorylation and protein have been observed in inflammatory diseases associated with increased ANG2 expression (56, 57). In line with this, Tie2 staining in tracheal blood vessels decreased in a time-dependent manner from 1 to 16 hours after LPS challenge (Figure 8, A–C). Similarly, Tie2 in lung lysates was about 30% less at 16 hours after LPS, but the leukocyte adhesion protein VCAM1 was greater than the control (Figure 8, D and E, and Supplemental Figure 13A). However, we discovered that Tie1 immunofluorescence was rapidly lost from tracheal blood vessels after LPS challenge (Figure 8, A and C). Western blot analysis using an antibody against the Tie1 ectodomain demonstrated a reduction in the amount of FL Tie1 and the presence of a reduced molecular weight form of Tie1 in lung lysates, representing cleaved Tie1 ectodomain (Figure 8, D and E, and Supplemental Figure 13A). Also, the level of Tie1 ectodomain in serum was greater than in the control as soon as 30 minutes after LPS (Figure 8F). Tie1, Tie2, and Ang1 mRNAs were significantly lower in the lungs of LPS-challenged mice than in controls, but the kinetics were slower than for Tie1 protein (Supplemental Figure 13, B and C).

To determine whether Tie1 cleavage occurred in human inflammatory disease, we then measured soluble Tie1 ectodomain (sTie1) in the serum of patients with acute Puumala hantavirus (PUUV) disease (58). The levels of sTie1 were significantly greater in the affected patients than in healthy controls (Figure 8G).

TNF-α is a key cytokine upregulated in sepsis, many infections, and by systemic administration of LPS (59). Tnf and Il1b mRNA in the lungs and TNF-α protein in the serum were signifi-
followed by an increase of Ang2 mRNA in the lungs and a gradual rise of ANG2 protein in serum (Supplemental Figure 16, D and E). These findings indicate that after LPS, ANG2 was greater in tissue and serum, but did not promote Tie2 phosphorylation and thus did not act as a Tie2 agonist.

To investigate the contribution of Tie1 cleavage on ANG2 agonist activity in inflammation, we measured phospho-Tie2 by Western blotting of lung lysates of Ang2EC mice. Tie2 was phosphorylated in the lungs of Ang2 EC mice under baseline conditions, but not after LPS challenge (Figure 9, A and B). Similarly, Tie2 phosphorylation in the lungs of WT mice was greater after Ad-CAng1 and weaker after LPS challenge (Figure 9, C and D). Thus, similar to genetic deletion of Tie1, loss of the Tie2 interacting ectodomain of Tie1 after LPS was accompanied by reduced agonistic effects of angiopoietins on Tie2. Agonist effects of ANG1 were weakened, and those of ANG2 were abolished.

CAng1 inhibits inflammation-induced Tie1 cleavage. ANG1 is known to decrease LPS-induced inflammation and vascular leakage by promoting Tie2 signaling (33). In line with this, we found that Ad-CAng1 injected 2 days before LPS challenge decreased LPS-induced leakage in tracheal vessels, Ang2 mRNA in lungs, significantly greater at 1 hour after LPS challenge (Supplemental Figure 14, A and B). As TNF-α is known to induce Tie1 cleavage in vitro (60), we tested its effect on Tie1 cleavage in vivo. Injection of TNF-α into mice resulted in weaker Tie1 staining in the trachea at 1 hour (Supplemental Figure 14C) and greater sTie1 in serum, consistent with TNF-α promotion of Tie1 cleavage (Supplemental Figure 14D). Sequestration of TNF-α by pretreatment with soluble TNF-α receptor prevented the sharp increase in sTie1 in serum after TNF-α, but not after LPS (Supplemental Figure 14, D and E), suggesting that additional inflammatory signals are involved in LPS-mediated Tie1 cleavage.

LPS-induced inflammation suppresses ANG2 agonist function. Tie2 phosphorylation was less in the lung lysates and tracheal vessels of LPS-treated mice than in corresponding controls (Figure 9A and Supplemental Figure 15, A and B). The phospho-Akt/Akt ratio was also less (Figure 8D), which fits with greater nuclear FOXO1 in tracheal vessels of LPS-challenged mice (Supplemental Figure 15, C and D). Staining for ANG2 and von Willebrand factor (vWF) was much weaker in the tracheal vessels at 1 hour after LPS challenge, consistent with release from Weibel-Palade bodies (Supplemental Figure 16, A–C). These rapid changes were followed by an increase of Ang2 mRNA in the lungs and a gradual rise of Ang2 protein in serum (Supplemental Figure 16, D and E). These findings indicate that after LPS, ANG2 was greater in tissue and serum, but did not promote Tie2 phosphorylation and thus did not act as a Tie2 agonist.

To investigate the contribution of Tie1 cleavage on ANG2 agonist activity in inflammation, we measured phospho-Tie2 by Western blotting of lung lysates of Ang2EC mice. Tie2 was phosphorylated in the lungs of Ang2 EC mice under baseline conditions, but not after LPS challenge (Figure 9, A and B). Similarly, Tie2 phosphorylation in the lungs of WT mice was greater after Ad-CAng1 and weaker after LPS challenge (Figure 9, C and D). Thus, similar to genetic deletion of Tie1, loss of the Tie2 interacting ectodomain of Tie1 after LPS was accompanied by reduced agonistic effects of angiopoietins on Tie2. Agonist effects of ANG1 were weakened, and those of ANG2 were abolished.
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and ANG2 protein in serum (Supplemental Figure 17, A–D). Tie2 activity was reduced but still present in lung lysates of LPS-challenged mice pretreated with Ad-CAng1 (Figure 9, C and D). Similarly, Tie1 was greater in lungs and tracheal vessels after Ad-CAng1 than after Ad-control (Figure 9, G–I). These findings indicate that ANG1 attenuates LPS-induced loss of Tie1, which fits with the vascular protective effects of ANG1. In contrast, high ANG2 expression in the Ang2<sup>−/−</sup> mice neither activated Tie2 nor prevented the loss of Tie1 after LPS challenge (Figure 9, A, B, E, and F), which is consistent with ANG2 acting as a Tie2 antagonist under these inflammatory conditions.

Discussion

Learning how the ANG/Tie system governs vascular stability and remodeling in health and disease has been limited by an incomplete understanding of the contribution of the orphan Tie1 receptor in angiopoietin signaling and the context-dependent function of ANG2. We show here that ANG1 and ANG2 binding to Tie2 increases Tie1-Tie2 interaction in signaling complexes that translocate to cell-cell junctions in a β<sub>1</sub> integrin-dependent manner and that Tie1 regulates normal receptor trafficking. We found that after Tie1 deletion in gene-targeted mice, adenovirally delivered ANG1 or transgenic overexpression of ANG2 induced little or no Tie2 phosphorylation and ANG1 did not induce Akt-FOXO signaling or suppress FOXO1-regulated gene expression. Furthermore, ANG1- and ANG2-induced endothelial cell proliferation, upregulation of venular markers, and enlargement of capillaries and postcapillary venules typical of vascular remodeling in sustained airway infection did not occur in the Tie1-deleted mice (27, 28, 55). These findings indicate that loss of Tie1 reduces or prevents the agonistic activity of ANG1 and ANG2. In acute inflammation after LPS challenge, the Tie1 ectodomain was rapidly cleaved. This was followed by reduced Tie2 phosphorylation, downregulation of Tie2 and ANG1 expression, and upregulation of ANG2. The results suggest that Tie1 interactions with Tie2 promote ANG1- and ANG2-induced Tie2 signaling under base-
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it resists solubilization by nonionic detergents, possibly because it is bound to the actin cytoskeleton or special lipid microdomains (45). Therefore, instead of analyzing the Tie receptor complexes in solution, we chose to probe Tie1-Tie2 interactions using FRET/FLIM microscopy in intact endothelial cells.

By using TCSPC/FLIM/FRET, which detects short-range (<10 nm) molecular interactions, we found that Tie1 and Tie2 form molecular complexes that are promoted by angiopoietin stimulation, resulting in ANG1-induced activation of both Tie1 and Tie2. Despite promoting the Tie1-Tie2 interaction, ANG2 induces little or no Tie phosphorylation in endothelial cell cultures unless the Tie receptors are ectopically expressed (9, 42, 45, 61). Similar to Tie1 deletion in Ang2EC mice, Tie1 silencing in HUVECs reduced ANG2-induced phosphorylation and junctional translocation of Tie1 (noninflammatory) conditions and that loss of Tie1 in acute inflammation reduces the agonist action of ANG1, prevents the agonist action of ANG2, and promotes vascular destabilization (Figure 10). Of clinical relevance, sTie1 concentration was found to be abnormally high in serum of patients with acute PUUV disease, suggesting that Tie1 cleavage is involved in vascular destabilization in that condition.

Angiopoietin-induced Tie1-Tie2 interaction and vessel remodeling. Tie1 does not directly bind angiopoietins, but as we and others have reported, Tie1 coimmunoprecipitates in a heteromeric complex with Tie2 (42) and is phosphorylated by ANG1 in a Tie2-dependent manner in vitro (21, 42, 43). However, the ligand-induced trafficking of the Tie receptors has distinctive features. The activated Tie complex becomes enriched at endothelial cell–cell junctions, where it resists solubilization by nonionic detergents, possibly because it is bound to the actin cytoskeleton or special lipid microdomains (45). Therefore, instead of analyzing the Tie receptor complexes in solution, we chose to probe Tie1-Tie2 interactions using FRET/FLIM microscopy in intact endothelial cells.

By using TCSPC/FLIM/FRET, which detects short-range (<10 nm) molecular interactions, we found that Tie1 and Tie2 form molecular complexes that are promoted by angiopoietin stimulation, resulting in ANG1-induced activation of both Tie1 and Tie2. Despite promoting the Tie1-Tie2 interaction, ANG2 induces little or no Tie phosphorylation in endothelial cell cultures unless the Tie receptors are ectopically expressed (9, 42, 45, 61). Similar to Tie1 deletion in Ang2EC mice, Tie1 silencing in HUVECs reduced ANG2-induced phosphorylation and junctional translocation of Tie1 (noninflammatory) conditions and that loss of Tie1 in acute inflammation reduces the agonist action of ANG1, prevents the agonist action of ANG2, and promotes vascular destabilization (Figure 10). Of clinical relevance, sTie1 concentration was found to be abnormally high in serum of patients with acute PUUV disease, suggesting that Tie1 cleavage is involved in vascular destabilization in that condition.

Angiopoietin-induced Tie1-Tie2 interaction and vessel remodeling. Tie1 does not directly bind angiopoietins, but as we and others have reported, Tie1 coimmunoprecipitates in a heteromeric complex with Tie2 (42) and is phosphorylated by ANG1 in a Tie2-dependent manner in vitro (21, 42, 43). However, the ligand-induced trafficking of the Tie receptors has distinctive features. The activated Tie complex becomes enriched at endothelial cell–cell junctions, where it resists solubilization by nonionic detergents, possibly because it is bound to the actin cytoskeleton or special lipid microdomains (45). Therefore, instead of analyzing the Tie receptor complexes in solution, we chose to probe Tie1-Tie2 interactions using FRET/FLIM microscopy in intact endothelial cells.

By using TCSPC/FLIM/FRET, which detects short-range (<10 nm) molecular interactions, we found that Tie1 and Tie2 form molecular complexes that are promoted by angiopoietin stimulation, resulting in ANG1-induced activation of both Tie1 and Tie2. Despite promoting the Tie1-Tie2 interaction, ANG2 induces little or no Tie phosphorylation in endothelial cell cultures unless the Tie receptors are ectopically expressed (9, 42, 45, 61). Similar to Tie1 deletion in Ang2EC mice, Tie1 silencing in HUVECs reduced ANG2-induced phosphorylation and junctional translocation of

![Figure 9. Effect of LPS on Tie1 levels and ANG1- and autocrine ANG2-induced Tie2 phosphorylation.](http://www.jci.org)

(A) Western blot showing phosphorylation of Tie2 in lung lysates from control and Ang2EC mice treated with PBS or LPS. (B) Quantification of phospho-Tie2 relative to total Tie2 from the Western blots in A. (C) Western blot showing phosphorylation of Tie2 in lung lysates of Ad-control– and Ad-CAng1–treated mice with or without LPS treatment. (D) Quantification of phospho-Tie2 relative to total Tie2 from the Western blots in C. (E) Western blotting of Tie1, ANG2, and β-actin in lung lysates from control and Ang2EC mice treated with PBS or LPS. (F) Quantification of Tie1 levels relative to β-actin from the Western blots in E. (G) Western blotting of Tie1, Flag, and Hsc70 in lung lysates from mice treated with Ad-control and Ad-CAng1 with or without LPS treatment. Flag antibody was used to detect CAng1 expression. (H) Quantification of Tie1 levels relative to Hsc70 from the Western blots in E. Error bars indicate SEM. n = 3–7. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001, 1-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post hoc test. (I) Tie1 (red) and PECAM1 (green) staining of tracheal blood vessels of Ad-control– and Ad-CAng1–treated mice with or without LPS treatment. Representative images (n = 8). Scale bar: 20 μm.
the ectopically expressed Tie2 and promoted ANG1-induced Tie2 translocation into vesicular structures, where it colocalized with early endosome antigen 1 (EEA-1) (62). These results challenge the model of Seegar et al. (48), who reported that Tie1 inhibits ANG2 signaling and that ANG1 promotes Tie1 dissociation from Tie2, measured by acceptor photobleaching in U2OS osteosarcoma tumor cells and EA.hy926 somatic endothelial-tumor hybrid cells. In contrast, our results indicate that Tie1 is required for the agonist activity of ANG1 and ANG2 in vivo and that Tie1-Tie2 interaction increases after angiopoietin stimulation of primary endothelial cells.

We also found that silencing of β1 integrin, but not β3 integrin, had effects similar to silencing Tie1, where ANG1-induced activation of Tie1, Tie2, and Akt was reduced and nuclear FOXO1 was increased. These results fit with previous findings demonstrating greater ANG1-Tie2 signaling in endothelial cells adherent to fibronectin matrix via αβ3 integrin (41). In contrast, ANG2 can activate β1 integrin directly, independent of Tie2 (38, 40), which promotes radial stress fiber formation, endothelial destabilization (40), and pericyte detachment (39).

Our findings provide evidence that Tie1 receptors are directly involved in angiopoietin signal transduction that leads to vascular remodeling, consistent with previous results on the involvement of Tie1, ANG2, Tie1+Tie2, and ANG1 in postnatal development of the retinal vasculature (20, 21, 63, 64). However, our results do not support reports that Tie1 downregulates Tie2 signaling and limits endothelial cell survival (43, 65). In contrast, the abnormal vascularity and leakage found in Tie1-null mouse embryos could be explained by reduced ANG1-induced Tie2 activation (15). Adding further complexity, the functions of Tie1 and angiopoietins in blood vessels differ from those in lymphatic vessels and the functions during development differ from those in adult disease processes (16–19, 63, 66).

Activated Tie2 promotes downstream signaling via Akt, which phosphorylates FOXO1, leading to its nuclear exclusion and downregulation of FOXO1 target genes (10, 54). This is the likely mechanism of endothelial cell proliferation associated with angiopoietin-induced vascular enlargement under noninflammatory conditions. Interestingly, activating mutations of the Tie2 gene increase downstream Akt phosphorylation and promote aberrant vascular remodeling in human venous malformations (67, 68). Deletion of Tie1 prevented angiopoietin-induced vascular remodeling, but the contribution of Tie1 to aberrant vascular remodeling of human venous malformations remains to be explored.

Requirement of Tie1 for ANG2 agonist activity in vivo. Our results indicate that ANG2 acts as a Tie2 agonist in Ang2-/- mice and that this activity, as Ad-Ang2–induced vascular remodeling, did not occur in the absence of Tie1. Previous studies using cultured endothelial cells have suggested that ANG2 functions as a weak autocrine agonist and a stabilizing factor of stressed endothelial cells, especially in the absence of ANG1, which normally provides a vascular protective signal that limits angiogenesis, tissue fibrosis, and organ damage (54, 69). Ad-Ang2 induced less vascular remodeling than Ad-CAng1, and no significant Tie2 phosphorylation remained at 2 days after Ad-Ang2 administration. Interestingly, decreased Esm1 mRNA expression was found in the tracheas of Ad-Ang2–treated mice, suggesting that ANG2 had agonist activity. The reason for the weaker Tie2 phosphorylation in the lungs observed after Ad-Ang2 than with autocrine ANG2 in transgenic mice could depend on differences in ANG2 concentration and site or duration.
of expression (61). Furthermore, the agonist activity of recombinant ANG2 can be increased by tetramer formation or the chimeric domains used to increase ANG2 solubility and stability (70, 71).

LPS triggers ANG2 release and decreases ANG1-Tie2/Tie1 signaling. Recombinant ANG1, inhibition of ANG2 or increasing Tie2 activity by therapeutic antibodies or a small-molecule phosphatase inhibitor have vascular protective effects in acute inflammation and in sepsis (32, 33, 34, 62, 72, 73), consistent with an agonist action of ANG1 and antagonistic action of ANG2. Reduced Tie2 protein and Tie2 and Ang1 mRNA levels have been reported in murine sepsis and systemic inflammation (56, 74). We confirmed these findings and additionally found reduced Tie1 mRNA in mice 3 to 12 hours after administration of endotoxin (LPS), a potent microbial mediator of the pathogenesis of Gram-negative bacterial sepsis and septic shock. We found that LPS induced the release of preformed ANG2 from Weibel-Palade bodies in endothelial cells of tracheal vessels within 30 minutes and promoted FOXO1 translocation to nucleus within 6 hours, which provides a positive feedback loop for Ang2 gene transcription (75). These rapid events are likely to contribute to the subsequent increase in ANG2 mRNA expression in the lungs and ANG2 protein in serum as well as to the reduction of Tie2 phosphorylation.

Rapid cleavage of Tie1 and loss of ANG2 agonist activity in inflammation. VEGF and inflammatory stimuli, including TNF-α, can lead to Tie1 ectodomain cleavage in endothelial cells (60, 76), and sTie1 can be detected in human serum (77). We found that in acute inflammation, endothelial Tie1 was rapidly cleaved (within 30 minutes of LPS application), resulting in the loss of Tie1 ectodomain that mediates angiopoietin-induced Tie1-Tie2 interaction. LPS-induced Tie1 ectodomain shedding correlated with loss of autocrine ANG2-induced Tie2 phosphorylation in Ang2+/+ mice. This finding fits with our results demonstrating that genetic Tie1 deletion impairs the Tie2 agonist activity of angiopoietins. However, it should be noted that Tie1 deletion differs from LPS-mediated Tie1 cleavage, which results in shedding of the sTie1 and production of the Tie1 intracellular domain with unknown functions in inflammation. These results also suggest that in inflammation Tie1 cleavage abrogates the agonist activity of autocrine ANG2 released from the Weibel-Palade bodies. As a result, decreased Tie2 signaling relieves the tonic suppression of FOXO1 activity, leading to increased expression of ANG2 and vessel destabilization typical of the leaky vessels in inflammation. Interestingly, Ad-CAng1 pretreatment reduced LPS-induced Tie1 cleavage, increase in ANG2, and vascular leakage. The partial rescue of Tie1 levels could contribute to the vascular stabilizing functions of ANG1. These results suggest that inflammatory signals promote the function of Ang2 as an antagonist through Tie1 ectodomain shedding. Similar results were obtained by Kim et al. (70).

Additional advances in understanding the actions of Tie1 will come from elucidation of the mechanistic differences between the acute inflammation induced by LPS, characterized by rapid Tie1 ectodomain cleavage, and the more gradual onset of chronic inflammatory conditions and atherosclerosis. Tie1 expression is elevated at sites of nonlaminar shear stress in the arterial vessel wall, where atheromas develop; partial genetic deletion of Tie1 protects from the development of atherosclerosis (25). Inflammation leads to vessel enlargement with decreased laminar shear stress. In these conditions, increased Tie1 expression could promote inflammatory responses (24, 25). However, the rapid kinetics of Tie1 cleavage complicates the comparisons of acute and chronic inflammation models. In the absence of genetic and pharmacological tools to manipulate Tie1 cleavage, the full significance of ectodomain shedding in LPS-induced inflammation and leakage remains to be determined. Future work should elucidate the respective contributions of the cleaved Tie1 ectodomain and the intracellular tyrosine kinase domain in acute inflammation.

Of clinical relevance, we detected significantly higher concentrations of sTie1 ectodomain in the serum of patients with acute PUUV infection (P < 0.001), which justifies further studies of sTie1 in human inflammatory conditions. Reduced Tie1 RNA is linked to susceptibility to Ebola virus-induced hemorrhagic fever and vascular leakage in mice (78), providing evidence that loss of Tie1 is associated with disease pathogenesis and contributes to reduced vascular stability.

In summary, our results define Tie1 as an essential component of angiopoietin/Tie2 receptor interaction, signaling, and trafficking. Tie1 is also essential for the agonist action of ANG1 and ANG2 on Tie2 during vascular remodeling in noninflammatory conditions. In addition, the findings suggest that through ectodomain cleavage, Tie1 is a molecular switch that suppresses or blocks the agonist activity of ANG1 and ANG2 on Tie2. Together, the results point to Tie1 as a target for therapeutic intervention in acute inflammation.

Methods

Additional details are available in the Supplemental Methods.

Mouse models. The Tie1fl/fl (20), Tie1LacZ/fl (15), Pdgfb-iCreER2 (gift from Marcus Fruttiger, Institute of Ophthalmology, University College London, London, United Kingdom) (79), Cdhs(PAC)-CreER2 (gift from Ralf Adams, Max Planck Institute for Molecular Biomedicine, Münster, Germany) (80), VE-cadherin-tTA (Cdhs5-tTA) (gift from Laura Benjamin, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA) (81), Tie1-tTA (gift from Daniel Dumont, Sunnybrook Research Institute, Toronto, Canada) (82), and tetO-Ang2 (50) mouse lines have been published previously. Endothelial-specific deleters (Pdgfb-iCreER2 and Cdhs5(PAC)-CreER2) were used for inducible inactivation of Tie1 by daily administration of tamoxifen by oral gavage (2 mg/mouse/d) for 5 days in 6- to 10-week-old Pdgfb-iCreER2, Tie1fl/fl, Pdgfb-iCreER2 Tie1LacZ, Cdhs5(PAC)-CreER2 Tie1fl/fl, and Cdhs5(PAC)-CreER2Tie2 Tie1LacZ mice. Cre-positive Tie1fl/fl and Tie1LacZ mice were compared with Cre-negative Tie1fl/fl and Tie1LacZ littermates. Both Cre-negative and Cre-positive Tie1 targeted mice were similarly treated with tamoxifen. We observed reduced vascular remodeling and endothelial cell proliferation with both Cre deleters. We have observed that Tie1 deletions resulting in less than 90% reduction in Tie1 protein levels do not show a vascular phenotype in postnatal retinal angiogenesis (20); therefore we were able to use Tie1fl/fl and Tie1LacZ littermates as phenotypically WT controls. Thus, control was used to refer to the Cre-negative Tie1fl/fl and Tie1LacZ littermates. Cre-positive Tie1fl/fl and Tie1LacZ mice were referred to as Tie1fl/fl. None of the mice had 2 Cre alleles. The Cre deleter strains used are indicated in the figure legends.

To obtain double-transgenic ANG2-overexpressing mice, the driver (Cdhs5-tTA [ref. 81] or Tie1-tTA [ref. 82]) and responder (tetO-Ang2 [ref. 50]) transgenic mouse lines were bred together. Cdhs5-tTA
mice were used in the C57BL/6J background and Tie1-tTA in the FVB background. Single transgenic or WT littermates were used as controls. ANG2 expression was repressed until birth by administration of 2 mg/ml of tetracycline in 5% sucrose in the drinking water of pregnant females. The levels of serum ANG2 were about 20 ng/ml in WT mice and 500 ng/ml in the ANG2 transgenic mice induced for 2 to 3 months after birth. To delete Tie1 in Ang2−/− mice, tamoxifen was administered 3 times per week for a total of 6 to 8 times to Pdgfb-iCreERT2; Tie1loxpCdLS-tTA teto-Ang2 mice and to their controls. Both male and female mice were used, all mice were used in the C57BL/6J background unless indicated otherwise, and all experiments had littermate controls. WT mice were ordered from Scanbur (C57BL/6J/Crl) or Harlan (C57BL/6J Olahsd).

Adenoviral gene transfer. 1 × 10⁶ PFUs/mouse of empty control or LacZ (Ad-control), COMP-Ang1 (Ad-CAng1), or mANG2 (Ad-Ang2) adenovirus vector in 100 μl of PBS were injected into control and Tie1−/− deficient mice via tail vein (26). Two days or 2 weeks later, the mice were sacrificed and their tracheas, lungs, and serum were collected for analysis.

LPS treatment. Endotoxemia was induced in mice by i.p. injection of LPS (15 mg/kg of body weight, E. coli LPS 055:B5, Sigma-Aldrich). Mice were sacrificed at 0.5, 1, 3, 6, 12, or 16 hours after LPS challenge. Tie2 phosphorylation and Tie1 levels were analyzed in lung lysates from 20- to 35-week-old control and Ang2−/− mice or 11- to 14-week-old Ad-control– or Ad-CAng1–treated mice with or without LPS (16 hours). Adenoviruses were injected 2 days prior to LPS treatment.

Cell culture and stimulations. HUVECs (PromoCell) were grown in Endothelial Cell Basal Medium MV (ECBM) supplemented with Supplement Pack GM MV (PromoCell) on gelatin-coated (0.1%) culture plates or coverslips. Confluent HUVECs were starved for 3 to 5 hours in 1% FCS containing ECBM. Starved HUVECs were treated for 10- to 30 minutes with CAng1 (49) (200-500 ng/ml), recombinant human ANG1 (R&D Systems, 100-500 ng/ml), or recombinant human ANG2 (R&D Systems, 200-500 ng/ml) or VEGF (R&D Systems, 50 ng/ml). HUVECs were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 10 minutes at room temperature (RT), washed 3 times with PBS and serum were collected for analysis.

HUVECs were transduced at 50% confluence by using retroviral vector–containing cell supernatants and polybrene (0.1%, Sigma-Aldrich) and analyzed after 48 hours. For integrin silencing using shRNA lentiviral vectors, 50%–90% confluent HUVECs were transduced with integrin or Scr shRNA lentivirus particles in the presence of 0.1% polybrene for 5 hours, after which the ECM medium was added. Fresh medium was exchanged after overnight incubation, and the cells were analyzed after 48 hours. For analysis of FOXO1 and cell proliferation, HUVECs were transfected (for 24 hours) with lentivirus encoding preselected β integrin or Tie1 shRNA clones or shScr and thereafter incubated in the presence of puromycin for 2 days. For analysis of mitoses, HUVECs treated as described above were cultured in the Cell-IQ Live Cell Imaging System. Images were acquired every 20 minutes, and mitoses were counted manually. Additionally, the number of live cells (indicative of ongoing cell proliferation) was determined using the MTT assay.

Physiological and biochemical analysis. All experiments were done according to protocols described in the Supplemental Methods.

FRET and FLIM measurements. FRET with acceptor photobleaching was performed using a Leica TCS SP2 confocal microscope with 63× HCX PL APO CS oil-immersion objective NA 1.40 or a Leica TCS SP8 confocal microscope with 63× HC PL APO CS oil-immersion objective NA 1.40 and 63× HC PL APO CS2 oil-immersion objective NA 1.30, using an excitation wavelength of 488 nm (argon laser) for GFP and of 561 nm (DPSS laser) for Cy3. Fluorescence emissions at 500–530 nm and 570–632 nm were recorded for GFP and Cy3, respectively. For acceptor photobleaching, regions of interest (ROI) were bleached at 100% of DPSS laser power as long as the Cy3 fluorescence intensity decreased to 0%–15%, as monitored by the Leica software. Pre- and postbleach images were recorded for both donor (GFP) and acceptor (Cy3). FRET efficiency was calculated as follows: FRET efficiency = (Dpost – Dpre)/Dpost, where Dpre and Dpost are the donor intensity before and after photobleaching, respectively. Photo-bleached GFP images were verified by comparing GFP intensity outside the bleached area before and after bleaching. Cells that displayed a drift in the x-y focal plane were discarded before FRET analysis. FRET efficiencies were analyzed using ImageJ software (NIH). To obtain homogenous levels of receptor expression, retroviral transfectant HUVECs used for FRET/FLIM analysis were sorted for Tie1 and Tie2 expression by FACs.

Frequency domain FLIM was performed using 3i Marians (3i intelligent Imaging Innovations) fluorescence microscope with 63× Alpha Plan-Apochromat oil-immersion objective NA 1.46 and 488 nm solid-state laser modulated at 50 MHz. The donor was excited using Semrock LF488-B filter set. Images were processed using Slidebook 5.5, and GFP lifetimes were calculated from ROI. More information about the method can be found elsewhere (83).

Confocal FLIM based on TCSPC was performed using Zeiss LSM 880 confocal microscope equipped with FRET module with 63× Plan-Apochromat oil-immersion objective NA 1.40 and PD800-D Diode laser driver for picosecond pulses, modulated at 40 MHz. GFP was excited with 485 ± 10 nm (LDH-D Series, PicoQuant). Images of 256 × 256 pixels were acquired detecting 6 × 10⁻¹⁰⁶ photons. Images of the donor fluorescence were processed using SymPhoTime64 software, and GFP lifetimes were calculated from ROI after fitting to GFP lifetime decay functions. Images of the donor fluorescence are presented in pseudocolor photon counting setup (PicoQuant).

Patient samples. The study cohort consisted of serum from 23 PUUV-infected patients and 10 controls. The PUUV-infected patient samples have been described recently (84). The median age of patients was 38 years (range 22–69) and the median age of controls 37 years (range 21–52). The patient cohort consisted of samples from 19 men and 4 women. Control samples were from 3 men and 7 women. sTie1 from patient serum samples was analyzed by hTie1 ELISA (R&D).

Statistics. Results are expressed as mean ± SEM or ± SD. Statistical analysis was carried out using Student’s t 2-tailed test or 1-way ANOVA, followed by Tukey’s, Dunnett’s, Bonferroni’s or Fisher’s least significant difference (LSD) test with SPSS or Prism Statistical analysis. Welch’s t test (2 tailed, unequal variance) was used for pairwise comparisons of FLIM and FRET measurements followed by Bonferroni’s test. A P value of less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Study approval. The National Animal Experiment Board in Finland approved all experiments involving the use of mice. Mice were housed in individually ventilated cages with enrichment materials in a facility following the guidelines by the Federation of European Laboratory Animal Science Associations. Patient samples...
were obtained from a study approved by the Ethics Committee of the Tampere University Hospital. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients.
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